


Research Briefing

12 August 2022

By Wendy Wilson,
Cassie Barton

Social rented housing (England): Past trends and prospects



Summary

- 1 Definitions
- 2 Why social rented housing?
- 3 Funding social rented housing: an overview
- 4 The need for affordable housing
- 5 The supply of social rented housing
- 6 Social rented housing: prospects

Image Credits

building-construction-1664228_960_720 by paulbr75. Licenced under CC0 Creative Commons

Disclaimer

The Commons Library does not intend the information in our research publications and briefings to address the specific circumstances of any particular individual. We have published it to support the work of MPs. You should not rely upon it as legal or professional advice, or as a substitute for it. We do not accept any liability whatsoever for any errors, omissions or misstatements contained herein. You should consult a suitably qualified professional if you require specific advice or information. Read our briefing '[Legal help: where to go and how to pay](#)' for further information about sources of legal advice and help. This information is provided subject to the conditions of the Open Parliament Licence.

Sources and subscriptions for MPs and staff

We try to use sources in our research that everyone can access, but sometimes only information that exists behind a paywall or via a subscription is available. We provide access to many online subscriptions to MPs and parliamentary staff, please contact hoclibraryonline@parliament.uk or visit commonslibrary.parliament.uk/resources for more information.

Feedback

Every effort is made to ensure that the information contained in these publicly available briefings is correct at the time of publication. Readers should be aware however that briefings are not necessarily updated to reflect subsequent changes.

If you have any comments on our briefings please email papers@parliament.uk. Please note that authors are not always able to engage in discussions with members of the public who express opinions about the content of our research, although we will carefully consider and correct any factual errors.

You can read our feedback and complaints policy and our editorial policy at commonslibrary.parliament.uk. If you have general questions about the work of the House of Commons email hcenquiries@parliament.uk.

Contents

Summary	5
1 Definitions	8
2 Why social rented housing?	10
3 Funding social rented housing: an overview	15
3.1 The Affordable Homes Programme	15
3.2 Lifting the borrowing caps	17
3.3 Early challenges and providers' responses	18
4 The need for affordable housing	23
4.1 Estimates of the need for social and affordable housing	23
4.2 Indicators of unmet housing need	25
Waiting lists	25
Households in temporary accommodation	26
Affordability in the private rented sector	28
Spending on housing benefits for private renters	29
5 The supply of social rented housing	34
5.1 How big is the social housing sector?	34
5.2 Trends in the supply of social and affordable housing	36
Renting from social housing providers	36
How has the number of homes let at social rents changed?	38
Has the availability of social rents for new tenants changed?	39
5.3 Additions to the social and affordable housing stock	40
New supply of affordable housing	40
Are social housing providers building new homes?	43
5.4 Depletions from the social housing stock	44
Trends in Right to Buy sales	45
6 Social rented housing: prospects	49

6.1	How are providers responding?	50
	London	52
6.2	Barriers and potential solutions	53
	Additional grant funding	53
	The Right to Buy and retention of capital receipts	56
	The cost of borrowing	59
	Focus on existing stock	60
	Land and planning	62
	Capacity	64
	Covid-19: a new landscape	65

Summary

Housing policy has focused on the overall supply of housing in recent years. Commentators also highlight the need to deliver housing that is genuinely affordable to improve living standards and address poverty levels. This paper considers the case for social rented housing, barriers to its development, and prospects for a “[step change](#)” in supply.

Why social rented housing?

Social rented housing has historically delivered rents at around 50% of market rates alongside long-term security of tenure. Calls for a large-scale delivery programme to address housing deprivation predated the pandemic. Evidence pointing to connections between inadequate housing and poor health outcomes in the context of Covid-19 has given these calls new impetus.

Other arguments advanced in favour of social rented housing include the need to move rising numbers of homeless households out of temporary accommodation; unaffordability in the private rented sector; and the potential to reduce expenditure on housing benefits by moving private renters into social housing. Commentators also argue for a social housing delivery programme to provide an economic stimulus, pointing to housebuilding as a proven form of [counter-cyclical investment](#). The [2022 UK Housing Review](#) (PDF) questioned the degree to which ‘levelling up’ between the regions could be achieved without delivering more social rented homes.

[Some commentators](#) (PDF) are also referring to social housing with affordable rents as a means of insulating residents from the cost of living crisis.

How much social rented housing is needed?

Research for the National Housing Federation (NHF) has estimated there are around [1.6 million households with unmet housing need that would be best met through social renting](#) (PDF).

Research conducted by Heriot-Watt University for the NHF and Crisis (2018) called for 145,000 new affordable homes per year of which 90,000 should be for social rent. These estimates were based on an analysis of the backlog of housing need at that time (eg homeless households in unsuitable accommodation), combined with projections of household growth.

The Housing, Communities and Local Government (HCLG) Select Committee endorsed the Heriot-Watt research in 2020, saying there is “compelling evidence that England needs at least 90,000 net additional social rent homes a year.” The Affordable Housing Commission, an independent group of housing experts established by the Smith Institute think-tank, also endorsed the call for 90,000 homes for social rent in its [March 2020 report](#).

These organisations recommend increasing the supply of social rented housing as a remedy for unmet housing need.

How has the supply of social housing changed?

The social housing sector has declined in size in the long-term. 5.5 million homes were provided by local authorities and housing associations in 1979. This number declined by around a quarter over the next 40 years, reaching 4.1 million in 2021.

While the number of homes provided by the sector has grown slightly in the last decade, the availability of homes for social rent has fallen as different products such as Affordable Rent, have become more common.

There isn't official data on how the number of homes for social rent has changed over time, something that has been challenged by the HCLG Select Committee. In this paper we estimate the number of homes for social rent fell from 4.0 million in March 2013 (around 98% of social housing providers' stock) to around 3.8 million in March 2021 (around 91%).

The fall in the number of homes for social rent is due to factors including Right to Buy sales, conversions from social rent to Affordable Rent, and low levels of new supply of homes for social rent. The focus on delivering new Affordable Rent and affordable home ownership products has meant the supply of new homes for social rent has declined. 11% of the 52,100 new affordable homes delivered in 2020/21 were for social rent. This is a sharp decline compared with the period before 2011/12, when social rent made up most of the affordable housing supply.

The social housing sector also loses stock through sales and demolitions. Right to Buy sales account for most of the losses.

Prospects for growth

Following Theresa May's reference to building a “new generation of council homes to help fix our broken housing market” in August 2018, and the subsequent lifting of Housing Revenue Account borrowing caps, the sector began to consider a return to large-scale development of social rented

housing. The Government envisioned that lifting the caps would enable councils to build around 10,000 new homes per year.

Research identified an appetite amongst authorities to take advantage of the new borrowing freedoms despite other barriers to development. These include limited grant funding and restrictions on the use of Right to Buy receipts.

In preparation for the Comprehensive Spending Review, which was expected in 2019, the Chartered Institute of Housing (CIH), Shelter, NHF, Crisis and the Campaign for the Protection of Rural England, joined forces to call for a subsidy of around £14.6 billion per year over ten years to secure 90,000 new social rented homes annually over the period.

The March 2020 Budget announced an additional £9.5 billion in funding for the Affordable Homes Programme (AHP), bringing the total programme to £12.2 billion from 2021 for five years. The 2021-26 AHP is expected to deliver 32,000 social rented homes.

The [Levelling Up white paper](#) (February 2022) referred to “significant unmet need for social housing” and contained a commitment to increase supply. Then Secretary of State, Michael Gove, spoke of the need to develop more social homes. During an evidence session with the Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee on 13 June 2022, he agreed with the Chair’s suggestion that there was more to do to achieve that aim.

In April 2022, Shelter published [Unlocking Social Housing](#) which looks at the barriers to developing social rented housing in England. It covers several of the issues and suggested responses referred to in this paper.

The effect of Covid-19

The Local Government Association called for the response to the pandemic to include “steps, measures and reforms” to “[support councils to work towards delivering a new generation of 100,000 high quality social homes per year](#)”.

The NHF wanted the November 2020 spending review used to “[transition to a longer-term plan and investment programme to build a new generation of social and affordable homes to rent and buy](#).” The NHF launched its [Homes at the Heart campaign](#) in 2020, which is described as a “national campaign and coalition calling for a once-in-a-generation investment in social housing.” The HCLG Select Committee (July 2020) said: “[A social housebuilding programme should be top of the Government’s agenda to rebuild the country from the impact of COVID-19](#).”

The [Government response](#) to the HCLG Committee’s July 2020 report, [Building more social housing](#), rejected calls for a revised definition of affordability, to treat social housing investment as infrastructure spending, and for a social housebuilding programme as a specific response to the pandemic.

1 Definitions

Several terms are used in England to describe housing intended to meet the needs of those who struggle to access the commercial housing market. These terms are sometimes used interchangeably and can be confusing. In this section we explain some of the common terms and describe how we use them in the context of this briefing paper.

- **Social housing:** sections 68-71 of the [Housing and Regeneration Act 2008 \(PDF\)](#) define social housing **for the purposes of regulating social landlords**. The definition covers homes for rent at below the market rate and shared ownership or shared-equity arrangements where these are made available to people whose needs are not met by the mainstream commercial market.¹
- **Social housing providers**, or the **social housing sector**, are organisations providing social housing. Many provide non-social housing as well. Most social housing providers are local authorities or housing associations.
- **Social rented housing**, or housing **for social rent**, refers to a more specific category of social housing where rents are set at around 50% of market rates.
- **Affordable housing** is a term often used by the Government, including in some official statistics, for which there is no statutory definition. The Government's definition is set out in the [National Planning Policy Framework \(NPPF\)](#). The definition encompasses homes for rent at below the market rate, as well as some affordable home ownership products.² While there is a lot of overlap between social housing and affordable housing, affordable housing is a broader term.

The Housing, Communities and Local Government (HCLG) Select Committee considered evidence on the definition of affordable housing during its inquiry into building more social housing over 2019-21. The Committee concluded:

We believe rents are only affordable when they do not exceed one third of household income. There are numerous ways to define this income and other related factors and the Government should identify its preferred method, in consultation with the Local Government Association, the National Housing Federation, Shelter, and other key players in the sector. It is crucial that the

¹ Housing and Regeneration Act 2008 (as amended), Part 2, Chapter 1

² MHCLG, [National Planning Policy Framework](#), p64 (Annex 2)

Government links local incomes to a definition of affordability, rather than using “affordable” as a synonym for below market rent or market value.³

The Government rejected this recommendation:

The Government does not prescribe a definition of affordability, it is a complex and ever-changing picture that is better understood and monitored at a local level.

[...]

Local authorities should refer to the National Planning Policy Framework definition of affordable housing when setting local policies that identify the levels and types of affordable housing that should be provided as part of development. Whilst this does not specify that rents should not exceed one third of household income the definition does set out that affordable housing should be for those whose needs are not met by the market.⁴

³ Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, [Building more social housing](#), 27 July 2020, HC 173, 2019-21, para 23

⁴ MHCLG, [Government Response to the Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee report on the Long-term Delivery of Social and Affordable Rented Housing](#), (PDF) CP 299, October 2020, p4

2

Why social rented housing?

As a broad consensus has developed around the need for an overall increase in housing supply in England, debate is focusing on the most appropriate tenure-mix to address what commentators refer to as an affordability crisis.

The Affordable Housing Commission (March 2020) concluded: “The lack of social housing lies at the heart of the country’s affordability problems.”⁵ Inability to access social housing means more low income households have moved into the private rented sector where: “Nationally, market rents far exceed social rents, pushing more households beyond the Commission’s affordability threshold.”⁶ The Commission determined that affordable rents are rents set at around 33% or less of the incomes of households on average incomes or below.⁷

Historically, low income households in the private rented sector (PRS) would have looked to the safety net of Housing Benefit or the housing element of Universal Credit to help meet their rent commitments. Restrictions introduced since 2010 have increased the likelihood of a shortfall between a claimant’s benefit entitlement and their contractual rent.⁸

A further development has involved the introduction of the Affordable Rent model by the Coalition Government. Under this model housing associations can offer tenancies at rents of up to 80% of market rents within the local area. The additional finance raised is available for reinvestment in the development of new affordable housing. Essentially, the model replaced capital grant supply subsidy for new social housing with a revenue subsidy.

The affordability of rents at up to 80% of market rents within high-cost areas has been questioned since the scheme’s inception, as has the model’s value for money. The Public Accounts Committee (PAC) considered the model’s viability during the 2012-13 Parliamentary Session. In a report published in October 2012, the Committee said:

It is unclear whether the shift of public resources from capital grants to increased housing benefits will provide better value for the taxpayer. The Programme will be delivered with an average government grant per home of around £20,000, compared to £60,000 under previous housing programmes. In part this will be funded by a one off use of capital surpluses held by housing associations. In part it will be funded by providers charging higher rents to tenants, two thirds of whom are supported by housing benefit, with a

⁵ Affordable Housing Commission, [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation’s Housing System](#), (PDF) March 2020, p41

⁶ As above

⁷ As above, p27

⁸ For an overview see Library Briefing Paper: [The rent safety net: changes since 2010](#) (CBP05638)

consequential increase in the housing benefit bill of an estimated £1.4 billion. To inform decisions on future housing programmes, the Department should review whether and how the current mix of revenue and capital funding provides best value for money for the taxpayer and tenants over time and take the results into account in future programmes.⁹

The Coalition Government defended the model on the basis that it “allows the Government to maximise the number of affordable homes that can be provided for the limited and finite resources that are available while the Government’s number one priority is tackling the budget deficit.”¹⁰ The additional costs of Housing Benefit under this model would be over a 30-year period and needed, the Government said, “to be set against the benefits of the lower capital payment per unit. On this basis the Affordable Rent model was the preferred option.”¹¹

The Affordable Housing Commission concluded that defining an affordable rent as up to 80% of the local market rent “broke with any common sense definition of affordability”:

Rather than easing affordability, evidence to the Commission showed that Affordable Rent was in effect pulling capacity and resources away from providing social rented homes.¹²

Numerous reports published in recent years argue that a large-scale social rented housing delivery programme could help to address the affordability crisis and, of relevance in the post-Covid-19 context, act as a counter-cyclical economic stimulus. The supporting arguments can be summarised as follows:

- Social rented housing traditionally delivers homes let at around 50% of market rents. For residents, it is the most affordable housing tenure.
- Social rented housing offers residents long-term security of tenure.¹³ This delivers benefits in terms of households’ stability with associated benefits for educational attainment and health.
- Social rented housing delivers housing of a higher standard than that provided in the private rented sector. Improving housing conditions can relieve pressure on public services such as health and social care.¹⁴
- Lower rents can deliver savings in welfare spending as households move from the private rented sector. This can offset the increased

⁹ Committee of Public Accounts, [Financial viability of the social housing sector: introducing the Affordable Homes Programme](#), (PDF) HC 338, 2012-13, 12 October 2012

¹⁰ [Treasury Minutes, Cm 8534](#), January 2013, p22

¹¹ As above.

¹² Affordable Housing Commission, [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation’s Housing System](#), (PDF) March 2020, p65

¹³ Housing association landlords have some discretion to offer fixed-term tenancies which can be renewed following a review, but few have taken this option up.

¹⁴ The Health Foundation, [How does housing influence our health?](#) 31 October 2017

upfront investment required.¹⁵ Work conducted by Capital Economics (2015) concluded:

In almost all circumstances, construction of social rent housing is viable economically and fiscally once future savings to the government's housing benefits bill are taken into account properly. By disbursing grant that permits the building of new social rent housing, the government can achieve savings in its future expenditure on housing benefits – as well as providing a home for a low income family and meeting other possible objectives, such as urban regeneration and renewal. Once built, the debt service, management and maintenance of properties can be met from rents, and a social asset is created, which will endure for decades, if not centuries.¹⁶

- Housing construction is an established economic stimulus:

Building new homes has positive effects on the economy beyond the initial amount invested, due to impacts on demand in the supply chain and additional spending from increased numbers of people in employment. A report for the UK Contractors Group estimated that every pound spent on construction output stimulates an increase of £2.84 in gross domestic product. The increased economic activity boosts tax receipts for the exchequer and lowers benefits spending.¹⁷

In the Covid-19 context, commentators pointed to the counter-cyclical nature of social rented housebuilding. Demand for social rented housing does not decrease during an economic downturn. Sir Oliver Letwin's [Independent Review of Build Out](#) (2018) is cited as an illustration of high and constant demand for social and affordable housing. The Local Government Association (LGA) said:

It is therefore imperative as part of the response to the pandemic that Government considers what steps, measures and reforms would support councils to work towards delivering a new generation of 100,000 high quality social homes per year.¹⁸

- Social rented housing delivery could assist in tackling homelessness; there were 95,060 households in temporary accommodation at the end of March 2022.¹⁹ The LGA has said a council building programme could:

...support the government's ambition to provide 6,000 new supported homes for vulnerable rough sleepers taken off the streets during the pandemic.²⁰

[The Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee said](#) “A social housebuilding programme should be top of the Government’s agenda to rebuild the country from the impact of COVID-19.”

(July 2020, para 70)

¹⁵ Capital Economics (commissioned by Shelter) [Increasing Investment in Social Housing](#), (PDF) January 2019, p4

¹⁶ Capital Economics for SHOUT and the National Federation of ALMOs, *Building New Social Rent Homes*, 2015, p4

¹⁷ Capital Economics (commissioned by Shelter) [Increasing Investment in Social Housing](#), (PDF) January 2019, p4

¹⁸ LGA, [Delivery of council housing: Developing a stimulus package post-pandemic](#), (PDF) June 2020, p2

¹⁹ Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC), [Statutory Homelessness Q1 January to March 2022](#), 28 July 2022

²⁰ LGA, [Delivery of council housing: Developing a stimulus package post-pandemic](#), June 2020, p3

Part 6 of the Affordable Housing Commission's report, [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation's Housing System](#) (PDF), considers the case for social housing in some detail.²¹

The Institute of Public Policy Research (IPPR) published [Renting beyond their means? The role of living rent in addressing housing affordability](#) (PDF) in June 2020. Amongst the solutions advanced to help restore affordable housing's role as "the first social service", the IPPR called for affordability to be redefined and for sufficient investment "to build 90,000 zero carbon social rent homes per year in England to boost supply and help those on the lowest incomes".²²

The Scottish Federation of Housing Associations (SFHA) and others²³ commissioned the UK Collaborative Centre of Housing Evidence (CaCHE) and HACT to carry out research into the impact of social housing with a view to making a case for sustained investment in the sector beyond 2021. [The Impact of Social Housing: Economic, Social, Health and Wellbeing](#) (August 2020) identified "multi-dimensional impacts" associated with social housing.²⁴

The House of Lords Built Environment Committee's inquiry into meeting housing demand reported in January 2022 and said:

There is a serious shortage of social housing, which is reflected in long waiting lists for social homes and a large number of families housed in temporary accommodation. The Government should set out what proportion of funding for the Affordable Homes Programme it believes should be spent on homes for social or affordable rent.²⁵

The Government response said:

Whilst we do not intend to set out a proportion of the funding which should be used for social housing, around half of the affordable homes built through our £11.5 billion Affordable Homes Programme 2021-26 will be for social and affordable rent to help those in greatest need.²⁶

Commentators are also referring to the benefits of social housing in light of the cost of living crisis. Shelter's evidence to the Work and Pension Committee's cost of living inquiry recommended investment in social homes

²¹ Affordable Housing Commission, [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation's Housing System, March 2020, \(PDF\) pp95-101](#)

²² Webb J and Murphy L (2020) Renting beyond their means: The role of living rent in addressing housing affordability, IPPR. <http://www.ippr.org/research/publications/living-rent>

²³ Joseph Rowntree Foundation (JRF), Public Health Scotland, and the Rural and Islands Housing Associations Forum (RIHAF).

²⁴ UK Collaborative Centre of Housing Evidence (CaCHE) and HACT, [The Impact of Social Housing: Economic, Social, Health and Wellbeing](#), August 2020

²⁵ House of Lords Built Environment Committee, [Meeting Housing Demand](#), 10 January 2022, HL Paper 132 2021-22, para 76

²⁶ [Her Majesty's Government's response to the House of Lords Built Environment Committee report on Meeting Housing Demand](#), 28 March 2022, p6

with “affordable rents pegged to local incomes” over the long term “to insulate more people against cost-of-living shocks”.²⁷

²⁷ [Written evidence from Shelter COL0014](#) (PDF) June 2022

3 Funding social rented housing: an overview

3.1 The Affordable Homes Programme

The provision of social rented housing at around 50% of market rents requires a degree of subsidy. This has traditionally taken the form of a capital grant. The Affordable Homes Programme (AHP) is administered on behalf of the Government outside London by Homes England. Within London, AHP funding is allocated by the Greater London Authority (GLA).²⁸ Providers, including housing associations, are required to bid for grant funding, successful bidders enter into delivery agreements with Homes England. The balance of the development cost is generally met by borrowing.

Housing associations are private bodies which operate under a mixed-funding regime. They are free to raise private finance without it counting as public sector borrowing.

After 2010, grant funding available through the AHP, and latterly the Shared Ownership and Affordable Homes Programme (SOAHP) 2016-21, was reduced. The Coalition Government's 2010 Spending Review announced £4.5 billion would be made available to fund new affordable homes over the period of the Review.²⁹ This represented a reduction in grant funding from £8.4 billion in the previous Spending Review. The SOAHP 2016-21 amounted to £7.7 billion with a further £2 billion committed by the 2017 Government for 2021/22. Providers facing a 'subsidy gap' have sought to make up this up by other means (see section 3.3, below).

Initially, the focus of the SOAHP was on the development of 135,000 shared ownership homes. However, the Autumn Statement 2016, together with the publication of the Housing White Paper, [Fixing our broken housing market](#), (February 2017) marked a shift of emphasis in investment priorities back towards rented housing. The Autumn Statement 2016 referred to "tenure flexibility" across the Affordable Homes Programme:

...the government will relax restrictions on grant funding to allow providers to deliver a mix of homes for affordable rent and low cost ownership, to meet the housing needs of people in different circumstances and at different stages of

²⁸ Information on the London Mayor's priorities for the allocation of funding can be found in [Affordable Housing Capital Funding Guide](#).

²⁹ The Coalition Government shifted the emphasis away from social rented housing towards the development of affordable homes on which rents of up to 80% of market rates could be charged – the additional revenue could be used to support housebuilding

their lives. The NPIF³⁰ will provide an additional £1.4 billion to deliver an additional 40,000 housing starts by 2020-21.³¹

Analysis conducted by Savills in 2017 estimated it would take £7 billion each year to provide social rented homes to all of those in need of sub-market housing. The cost would be offset in the most expensive areas by “significant benefit saving...because social rent is at such a discount.”³²

The December 2019 Queen’s Speech included a commitment to renew the Affordable Homes Programme. Subsequently, the March 2020 Budget announced £9.5 billion for an extension of the AHP over five years from 2021-22:

The Budget announces an additional £9.5 billion for the Affordable Homes Programme. In total, the programme will allocate £12.2 billion of grant funding from 2021-22 to build affordable homes across England. This should bring in a further £38 billion in public and private investment. This new five-year programme will help more people into homeownership and help those most at risk of homelessness.³³

The funding split between the GLA and Homes England is £4 billion and £7.39 billion respectively, marking a shift away from London compared with the SOAHP 2016-21. The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) subsequently reported an increase in funding with £10.28 billion allocated to 2021-22 to 2024-25 and £2.74 billion planned for 2025-26.³⁴

The 2021-26 AHP is likely to see increased diversity amongst providers. Homes England has formed strategic partnerships with four for-profit providers.³⁵

The Government confirmed that as a condition of receiving AHP funding, homes built must have the Right to Shared Ownership attached.³⁶ Exceptions apply, including for new council housing, so this requirement primarily affects housing associations and for-profit providers. There are concerns about its impact on the feasibility and cost of schemes. For more information on shared ownership see the Library briefing: [Shared ownership \(England\): the fourth tenure?](#)

³⁰ National Productivity Investment Fund.

³¹ HM Treasury, [Autumn Statement 2016](#), (PDF) Cm 9362, para 3.11

³² Savills, [Investing to solve the housing crisis - 2017](#), 27 November 2017

³³ HM Treasury, [Budget 2020](#), (PDF) HC 121, March 2020, para 2.91

³⁴ Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#), (PDF) March 2022, p81

³⁵ DLUHC, [Homes England’s Strategic Partnerships for the Affordable Homes Programme 2021-26](#), 21 September 2021

³⁶ [Affordable Housing: Construction: Written question – 28566](#), 17 March 2020

The Affordable Homes Programme 2021-26 is funding 32,000 new social rented homes.

On 30 June 2020, MHCLG confirmed £12 billion for the next five years to deliver up to 180,000 new affordable homes, the majority of which will be built within the first five years “and the rest by 2028/29.” The announcement said some of the funding would be allocated to a pilot of 1,500 First Homes.³⁷ Then-Housing Minister, Christopher Pincher, confirmed that of the 180,000 affordable homes, 32,000 would be for social rent.³⁸

Funding guidance for the AHP 2021 to 2026 says:

Social Rent homes can be funded within [areas of high affordability challenge](#), as defined by government for the AHP 2021 to 2026, or elsewhere provided that the grant requested is not higher than it would be for Affordable Rent.³⁹

John Perry, in the 2022 UK Housing Review, observes “this means the programme continues to be less useful to northern areas of England, despite the government’s professed ‘levelling up’ agenda.”⁴⁰

The Government also launched an [Affordable Homes Guarantee Scheme](#) in 2020 which is providing £3 billion of guarantees to support the delivery of 17,000 new affordable homes.⁴¹

3.2

Lifting the borrowing caps

After experiencing restrictions on borrowing levels within their Housing Revenue Accounts (HRAs)⁴² since before the early 1990s, local housing authorities had hoped that moving to a self-financing regime in April 2012 would free them up to borrow to invest in their existing stock and to develop new social housing.

As with housing associations, authorities can bid for grant funding from Homes England and make up the development costs with loans serviced through rental income. Local authority borrowing is treated as public sector borrowing.

The drive to control public sector debt led the 2010 Coalition Government to take powers under the Localism Act 2011 to impose a cap on the level of borrowing local housing authorities could undertake under the self-financing regime. Debt was capped at its opening level of £29.8 billion. The decision to cap borrowing was controversial. Local authorities argued they were already

³⁷ Inside Housing, “Government confirms £12bn affordable homes cash will be spent over five years”, 1 July 2020 [login required]

³⁸ [HC Deb 18 May 2021 c646](#)

³⁹ DLUHC, [Apply for affordable housing funding](#), December 2020

⁴⁰ Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#), (PDF) March 2022, p82

⁴¹ MHCLG, [Affordable Homes Guarantee Scheme 2020](#), 16 October 2020

⁴² The HRA is often referred to as a ‘landlord account’. It is a ring-fenced account within the General Fund. The main items of income to the HRA are council tenants’ rent and service charge payments, while a key item of expenditure is the day-to-day management and maintenance of the housing stock

subject to the Prudential Code for Capital Finance and could demonstrate a good track record which should be viewed as a sufficient safeguard against imprudent borrowing.⁴³

In the 2013 Autumn Statement (December) the then-Chancellor announced a limited increase in local authorities' borrowing caps:

The government will increase the funding available for new affordable homes, by increasing local authority Housing Revenue Account borrowing limits by £150 million in 2015-16 and £150 million in 2016-17, allocated on a competitive basis, and from the sale of vacant high-value social housing.⁴⁴

Subsequently, Budget 2017 announced HRA borrowing caps would be lifted for councils in “areas of high affordability pressure” to build more council homes:

Local authorities will be invited to bid for increases in their caps from 2019-20, up to a total of £1 billion by the end of 2021-22. The government will monitor how authorities respond to this opportunity, and consider whether any further action is needed.⁴⁵

This was followed by Theresa May's announcement during her speech to the Conservative Party Conference on 3 October 2018, that borrowing caps would be lifted to support more housebuilding.⁴⁶ The then-Chancellor announced the lifting of borrowing caps with effect from 29 October 2018 during the Budget, enabling councils in England to increase house building to “around 10,000 homes per year.”⁴⁷

3.3 Early challenges and providers' responses

In addition to borrowing caps and a steer to use AHP funding for affordable rent⁴⁸ and shared ownership products, providers have faced other challenges, including:

- **A requirement to reduce rents by 1% per year for four years from April 2016**

This requirement ended in April 2020. Social landlords can increase rents by the Consumer Price Index +1% for five years.⁴⁹ Providers welcomed the certainty this delivers but would like a longer-term rent settlement. Claire Miller, CEO of Clarion Housing Group, was asked how far into the future the Government should be providing certainty over rents by the Housing,

⁴³ Communities and Local Government, [Summary of responses to the prospectus: Council housing: a real future](#), (PDF) November 2010, p7

⁴⁴ HM Treasury, Cm 8747, [2013 Autumn Statement](#), (PDF) December 2013, para 1.228

⁴⁵ HM Treasury, HC 587, [Budget 2017](#), (PDF) November 2017, para 5.23

⁴⁶ [Prime Minister's Conference Speech](#), 3 October 2018

⁴⁷ HM Treasury, HC 1629, [2018 Budget](#), (PDF) October 2018, para 4.56

⁴⁸ Rents of up to 80% of market levels.

⁴⁹ DCLG, [£2 billion boost for affordable housing and long term deal for social rent](#), 4 October 2017

Communities and Local Government (HCLG) Select Committee - she gave the following response:

As long as possible, because our capital markets rely on certainty. You will know that we borrow over the long term. Traditionally, housing associations have borrowed for 30 years; more recently we are borrowing for a period of 15 years, and the absolute requirement of the capital markets is certainty over that period of time. If the rent regime gives us that certainty, it means that we can access money at a better rate and for a longer period.⁵⁰

A further pressure for providers is arising from current levels of inflation. Although able to increase rents by CPI+1%, many will be aware of tenants' financial circumstances and cost of living pressures.

- **The impact of increased Right to Buy sales**

For local authorities, after discounts were increased in 2012, together with restrictions on their use of capital receipts, the growth in sales impacted their ability to replace the properties sold on a one-for-one basis. The outcome of a 2018 [Government review](#) on the use of capital receipts was published in March 2021. This resulted in some changes to the use of receipts from 1 April 2021.⁵¹ Details can be found in section 6 of this paper.

- **The impact of welfare reform on rent collection rates**

Social landlords rely on their rental revenue streams to pay for daily management and maintenance and to meet interest payments on loans to finance housebuilding. Welfare reforms which have reduced entitlement to Housing Benefit and the housing element of Universal Credit generate increased levels of risk around financial viability and impact on lenders' confidence in the sector.⁵²

As noted above, providers have sought to continue to develop social rented housing despite funding restrictions by filling the subsidy gap through a variety of measures, including:

- **Use of social landlords' own resources, eg cross-subsidy from the sale of market and shared ownership homes and use of surpluses**

In the first quarter of 2021/22 almost half of the homes started and completed by housing associations were outside of the Affordable Homes Programme:

- 40% (3,271) of all homes started were delivered outside the Affordable Homes Programme in Q1.

⁵⁰ Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, [Oral evidence: Long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing](#). HC 25, 21 October 2019, Q94

⁵¹ MHCLG, [Use of receipts from Right to Buy sales](#), 14 August 2018

⁵² See for example, UK Collaborative Centre for Housing Evidence, [The impact of welfare reforms on housing associations – a scoping study](#). (PDF) 2018 [Professor Hickman P; Dr Preece J; Dr Pattinson B]

- 44% (4,629) of all homes completed were delivered outside the Affordable Homes Programme in Q1.⁵³

The 2022 UK Housing Review notes that grant funding “remains a relatively small factor in driving housing association investment, with the Regulator for Social Housing projecting it to provide only six per cent of overall development funding in 2022”.⁵⁴

The HCLG Select Committee’s inquiry into the delivery of social and affordable rented housing was told the cross-subsidy model was broken.

Towards the end of 2019 there was growing evidence that associations’ ability to cross-subsidise affordable housing development was becoming more limited. Fiona Fletcher-Smith, Group Director of Development and Sales with L&Q, told the HCLG Committee the model was broken:

It is possibly a temporary break, but to put a little more meat on the bones of how the market is, at the moment it is not just a question of sentiment. People are putting off making big decisions such as buying new homes. We need them to buy to generate the surplus that we reinvest in the business, and last year we generated about £200 million of surplus to invest in affordable housing and to subsidise that affordable housing.

We are almost seeing a slight contraction of retail lending; we are seeing valuers who are down-valuing properties. They are not agreeing with us on the valuation. The added issue for us, as a housing association, is about the need to protect the health, safety and wellbeing of our existing residents. Our housing strategy and development strategy is very clear that we will not develop at the cost of our existing homes and residents. That really starts to bite for an association such as L&Q. We have, for example, 289 buildings above 18 metres, for which we are having to look at the cladding systems and the fire stopping. We have to do quite a considerable amount of remedial work to those first, so when we do generate a surplus we are also needing to look after our own stock first.⁵⁵

Claire Miller described the challenges involved in developing social rented housing:

If I give you an example, in London, to build a new two-bedroom flat, it costs us on average just over £400,000. The rent that I can secure for a social rent, if we forecast that across 30 years, which is our planning horizon, is about £100,000. I am looking to fill the gap of £300,000 from either grant or subsidy that I can generate myself. At the moment, the average grant rate that we are securing in London is around £60,000 per home, so for every social rent I build there is a gap of £240,000. That is the pure economics of the situation.

Last year we built 30 social rented homes. This year we will build 70. It is a tiny part of our programme, but as a housing association we are committed to developing affordable tenures, so what we are able to do is to build affordable rent and, increasingly, to build low-cost home ownership. There is no doubt there is a need in the marketplace for both those tenures, but I would love to be able to build more social rent that is compatible with our charitable

⁵³ National Housing Federation (NHF), [How many homes did housing associations deliver in Q1 2021/22](#). (PDF) 21 October 2021

⁵⁴ Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#). (PDF) March 2022, p82

⁵⁵ Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, [Oral evidence: Long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing](#). HC 25, 21 October 2019, Q85.

objectives. In order to be able to do that, I need to find that subsidy somewhere.⁵⁶

There was early evidence that the Covid-19 outbreak had put the cross-subsidy model under further pressure. The Regulator of Social Housing's quarterly survey covering January to March 2020 reported a 12% increase in the number of unsold affordable home-ownership units by the end of March 2020, while unsold market sale units increased by 21%.⁵⁷ The survey covering October to December 2020 recorded a reduction in the number of affordable home-ownership units unsold for six months or more but noted that levels were still higher than pre-coronavirus levels.⁵⁸

- **Use of public sector land**

Government activity since 2010 in relation to land supply has been focused on ensuring that land in public ownership is released for housebuilding. MHCLG published a [progress report on the Public Land for Housing Programme 2015-2020](#) (PDF) in May 2019. Ordnance Survey has been commissioned to monitor the progress of homes built on land released through the 2011-15 and 2015-20 programmes. Performance data was released on 6 February 2020 - there is an aim to release enough land for 160,000 homes in the longer-term. On publication of the data, commentators expressed concern that, of the land sold to developers with scope for 131,000 homes, only 2.6% would be for social rent.⁵⁹

- **Planning gain, also referred to as section 106 contributions linked to private housing development**

Data from the National Housing Federation's survey of housing associations indicates the importance of section 106's contribution to affordable housing development, for example in the first quarter of 2021/22:

- 41% (2,939) of affordable starts were delivered through Section 106 agreements in Q1.
- 54% (4,855) of affordable completions were delivered through Section 106 agreements in Q1.⁶⁰

Over 2020/21 section 106 contributions provided over 24,000 affordable homes without grant, 47% of total output.⁶¹

On 13 May 2020, the Government issued new guidance advising local authorities to consider letting small and medium-sized developers defer their

⁵⁶ As above, Q86

⁵⁷ Regulator of Social Housing, [Quarterly Survey Q4 - January to March 2020](#), (PDF) May 2020

⁵⁸ Regulator of Social Housing, [Quarterly Survey for Q3 \(October to December\) 2020 to 2021](#), 5 March 2021

⁵⁹ NEF, [Sold off public land is creating miniscule amounts of affordable housing](#), 18 February 2020

⁶⁰ National Housing Federation (NHF), [How many homes did housing associations deliver in Q1 2021/22](#), (PDF) 21 October 2021

⁶¹ Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#), (PDF) March 2022, p82

section 106 and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) obligations in response to the Covid-19 outbreak. The measure was aimed at supporting housebuilders struggling with cash-flow.⁶² The NHF reacted quickly to point out the importance of these agreements in securing the delivery of affordable homes and emphasised that flexibility should not come at the cost of affordable housing.⁶³

Savills Housing Sector Survey 2021 forecast lower levels of affordable home delivery through section 106 over the next five years:

A higher proportion of that delivery will be grant-funded than in recent years. Section 106 volumes are expected to fall over the next five years, averaging 25,000 homes per year, a reduction of 10% compared to the last three years. Market demand for new homes is expected to be slightly lower post Help to Buy, resulting in a lower level of developer contributions to affordable housing.

The Government also announced in June 2021 that it requires at least 25% of developers' Section 106 contributions to be First Homes. As a result, we expect the supply of shared ownership and affordable rented tenures through Section 106 to be 20% lower compared to the last three years.⁶⁴

- **Local housing authority companies**

Limited access to grant funding and, until 2018, caps on their ability to borrow, led an increasing number of local authorities to consider innovative ways in which they could develop new housing. This resulted in the growth of local authority owned housing companies.

Authorities are using their housing companies to provide a wide range of housing types. Few, if any, are producing only social rented homes as the financial challenges to making this work are considerable. However, authorities are using companies to generate profit from homes for sale or letting at market rents which, in turn, enables the cross-subsidy of a proportion of affordable and/or social rented housing.⁶⁵

Section 6 of this paper has more on the current challenges faced by providers seeking to develop social rented housing.

⁶² MHCLG, [Coronavirus \(COVID-19\): Community Infrastructure Levy guidance](#), 13 May 2020

⁶³ Inside Housing, "Housing sector fears government's Section 106 changes could hamper affordable housing delivery", 14 May 2020 [login required]

⁶⁴ Savills, [Housing Sector Survey 2021](#), 25 September 2021

⁶⁵ See for example, The Smith Institute, [Delivering the renaissance in council-built homes](#), 2017

4

The need for affordable housing

4.1 Estimates of the need for social and affordable housing

Research for the National Housing Federation (NHF), an industry body representing housing associations, has estimated there are around 4.2 million households in England with some form of unmet housing need. The research also estimates that for 1.6 million households, “social renting would be the most appropriate tenure to meet that need”.⁶⁶

The NHF research aimed to capture housing need in 2018/19, based on estimates of households affected by factors including overcrowding, unaffordability, and unsuitable conditions.

Research conducted by Heriot-Watt University, published in 2018 for the NHF and Crisis, called for 340,000 new homes each year in England up to 2031. This research identified a need for 145,000 affordable homes per year of which 90,000 should be for social rent.⁶⁷ Despite the passage of time, it is still widely cited as establishing the case for a large programme of social rented housing development.⁶⁸

These need estimates were based on analysis of the backlog of housing need, combined with household projections in a model that sought to estimate the level of supply needed for households to live affordably. In this research, the ‘backlog’ included overcrowded households, those in unsuitable accommodation, homeless, in poverty after housing costs, or ‘concealed’ (ie families or single adults living with others and likely to want to move).⁶⁹

The Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee published [findings from its Building more social housing inquiry](#) in July 2020. The Committee endorsed the Heriot-Watt research, saying there was “compelling evidence that England needs at least 90,000 net additional

⁶⁶ National Housing Federation, [People in housing need: the scale and shape of housing need in England \(PDF\)](#), December 2021, p1

⁶⁷ Bramley, G. for Crisis, [Housing supply requirements across Great Britain: for low-income households and homeless people](#), November 2018

⁶⁸ See for example, Joseph Rowntree Foundation (JRF), [Renters on low incomes face a policy black hole: homes for social rent are the answer](#) (PDF), Elliot J; Earwaker R; October 2021

⁶⁹ Bramley, G. for Crisis, [Housing supply requirements across Great Britain: for low-income households and homeless people](#), November 2018

social rent homes a year”.⁷⁰ The Affordable Housing Commission, an independent group of housing experts established by the Smith Institute think-tank, also endorsed the call for 90,000 homes for social rent in its March 2020 report.⁷¹

A separate report from Shelter’s commission on the future of social housing – [A Vision for Social Housing](#) (PDF, January 2019) – recommended a total of “3.1 million more social homes” be built over a 20-year period.⁷² This represents an average of 155,000 new homes per year. This figure is based on the number of households the commission judged to be “failed by the housing market”, including households in hazardous or overcrowded privately rented accommodation, private renters unable to afford to buy, and older private renters as well as homeless households.⁷³

A further report from Shelter, [Unlocking Social Housing](#) (April 2022) includes a recommendation to:

Invest in a 10-year Affordable Homes Programme of £12bn per year to deliver at least 90,000 social homes a year.⁷⁴

In the [2021 UK Housing Review Autumn briefing paper \(PDF\)](#) John Perry questioned whether investment in 90,000 social rented homes a year would be sufficient:

Before the pandemic, there was already evidence that needs had increased above the level indicated by Glen Bramley’s comprehensive assessment based on 2015/16 data, which called for 90,000 social rented units annually together with 25,000 for shared ownership. Problems have since worsened. Overcrowding has increased, particularly in the PRS, where 15 per cent of tenants are now overcrowded. Also, some nine per cent of private renters (353,000 households) are in arrears, compared with only three per cent in 2019/20. More than one-fifth of private renters have lost income (at least £100 per month) during the pandemic. Despite economic recovery, more low-income workers now subsist in ‘a very unstable and precarious labour market’.⁷⁵

Any evaluation of the need for social or affordable housing involves some level of value-judgement about how housing need should be met. The next section explores some indicators of overall housing need which commentators argue could be met by increased social housing supply.

⁷⁰ Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee, [Building more social housing](#), 27 July 2020, HC 173 2019-21,, para 53

⁷¹ Affordable Housing Commission, [Making housing affordable again: Rebalancing the nation’s housing system](#), March 23 2020

⁷² Shelter, [A vision for social housing](#), (PDF) January 2019, p17

⁷³ As above, p172

⁷⁴ Shelter, [Unlocking Social Housing](#), April 2022, p12

⁷⁵ Perry J; Stephens M; Williams P; Young G, [2021 UK Housing Review Autumn Briefing paper](#) (PDF), 2021, p5

4.2

Indicators of unmet housing need

Commentators have argued the need for increased supply of social housing is demonstrated by large housing waiting lists, unaffordability in the private rented sector, high levels of homelessness and overcrowding.

The Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee cited these factors in [Building more social housing](#) and linked them to the effects of the Covid-19 pandemic:

A social housebuilding programme should be top of the Government's agenda to rebuild the country from the impact of COVID-19.

The crisis has exposed our broken housing system. Families in overcrowded homes have faced worse health outcomes. Private renters have struggled to meet housing costs. A large social housebuilding programme will provide jobs, boost the economy, and help the Government meet its 300,000 homes a year target. It would also help to significantly reduce the number of people suffering from homelessness, reduce the number of families in overcrowded homes, help families reduce their housing costs, as well as reduce pressures elsewhere in the housing system.⁷⁶

Official statistics give an idea of the extent of need according to these measures, and in some cases how this varies over time and between geographical areas.

Waiting lists

There were 1.19 million households on social housing waiting lists in March 2021

There were 1.19 million households on waiting lists for social housing on 31 March 2021.⁷⁷

The size of local authority waiting lists is often cited as a measure of the need for social housing. However, local authorities have a good deal of discretion over who they include on their waiting lists. This means waiting list size isn't very helpful for understanding differences between areas or over time.

The Localism Act 2011 gave councils more powers to exclude applicants from waiting lists, using criteria like unacceptable behaviour or lack of a local connection. The number of households on waiting lists subsequently fell and was 32% lower in 2021 than in 2011.⁷⁸ Local authorities' housing allocation policies are the main driver of differences over time and between areas.

For more information on the 2011 Act, see the Library briefing [Allocating social housing \(England\) \(CBPO6937\)](#).

⁷⁶ Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee, [Building more social housing](#), 27 July 2020, HC 173, 2019-21

⁷⁷ DLUHC, [Live tables on rents, lettings and tenancies](#), Live Table 600, 23 June 2022

⁷⁸ As above

DLUHC also reports on the length of time households spend on social housing waiting lists before taking up a new social letting:

The majority (61%) of households who received a new social housing letting in 2020/21 were on the waiting list for less than a year in that local authority area. 12% of households were on the waiting list for 5 years or more before getting a social letting in that area.⁷⁹


Households in temporary accommodation

There were 95,060 households in temporary accommodation in March 2022

Homeless households or those at risk of homelessness can apply to their local authority for assistance. Local authorities might place households in temporary accommodation (TA) while an application is in progress, or while waiting for suitable long-term accommodation to become available.

In England, the number of households in TA has generally been increasing since 2011, after the number more than halved compared with its 2004 peak. There were 95,060 households in TA in England at the end of March 2022. This is somewhat lower than a peak of around 98,000 households at the end of June 2020, but still 97% higher than in March 2011.⁸⁰

As the chart below shows, London households accounted for the majority (59%) of all households in TA at the end of March 2022. In London, there were 15.7 households in TA for every 1,000 resident households. In the rest of England, the rate was 1.9.⁸¹



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on homelessness](#), Statutory homelessness live table TA1 and Detailed local authority table TA1, 28 July 2022

⁷⁹ DLUHC, [Social housing lettings in England, April 2020 to March 2021](#), 28 July 2022, p24

⁸⁰ DLUHC, [Live tables on homelessness](#), Statutory homelessness live table TA1, 28 July 2022

⁸¹ As above

Campaigners have linked homelessness with an under-supply of affordable housing. Analysis produced by Heriot-Watt University for Crisis pointed to the supply of affordable housing as a driver of homelessness:

The availability and affordability of different forms of potentially accessible housing, whether social rented lettings or suitable forms of TA, are important in driving trends in future levels of core homelessness.⁸²

The report recommended an increase in overall housing supply, including new social rented and affordable housing, to reduce homelessness.⁸³

Crisis' [Homelessness Monitor: England 2019](#) noted that the number of households in 'unsuitable' temporary accommodation had grown faster than any other measure of homelessness they use. This growth was attributed to increased demand as well as "static or falling supply of social lettings and increasing difficulty in achieving private rental placements."⁸⁴ The report identified the profile of social housing stock as an issue, in addition to overall supply:

Very few local authority respondents [to a survey run by Crisis] believed that existing social housing provision in their area is commensurate with homelessness needs, but many were at least equally concerned about the problematic profile of the local social housing stock portfolio, mismatched to need.⁸⁵

[The 2019 Homelessness Monitor](#) referred to changes in housing associations' allocation policies and the drive to "squeeze out higher risk and non-economically active households" as exacerbating the challenge authorities face in trying move households on from temporary accommodation.⁸⁶

[The Homelessness Monitor: England 2021](#) argued the pandemic had "further exposed England's severe shortage of affordable homes":

Access to long-term housing was the capacity challenge most widely seen as having been posed (or emphasised) by the pandemic by local authorities. A majority of councils surveyed said it had become harder to place homeless households in both social rented and private rented sector housing during the pandemic. A pressing priority for many local authorities remains finding effective move on arrangements for people temporarily accommodated during the COVID-19 crisis.⁸⁷

⁸² Crisis, [Homelessness projections: core homelessness in Great Britain. Summary report \(PDF\)](#), August 2017, p14

⁸³ As above, p16

⁸⁴ Fitzpatrick, S., Pawson, H., Bramley, G., Wood, J., Watts, B., Stephens, M. & Blenkinsopp, J. (2019) [The Homelessness Monitor: England 2019](#). London: Crisis.

⁸⁵ As above, p20

⁸⁶ As above, p22

⁸⁷ Fitzpatrick, S., Pawson, H., Bramley, G., Wood, J., Watts, B., Stephens, M. & Blenkinsopp, J. (2021) [The Homelessness Monitor: England 2021](#). London: Crisis.

For further information see the Library briefing: [Households in temporary accommodation \(England\) \(CBPO2110\)](#).

Affordability in the private rented sector

Unaffordability in parts of the private rented sector is, according to some commentators, a sign that more social housing is needed. Shelter's [A Vision for Social Housing](#) (2019) report argued:

[The] overall shortage of housing – resulting from the withdrawal of social housebuilding – has prompted much greater competition for privately rented homes. This has created the conditions for a landlords' market. In much of the country, there are too many renters chasing too few homes, leaving renters with weak bargaining power. Knowing that someone else will be willing to accept what is on offer can mean renters too often feel forced to accept high rents, poor conditions, and unfair terms in tenancy agreements.⁸⁸

The Joseph Rowntree Foundation (JRF) published analysis in October 2021 which found that around 965,000 privately renting households in England paid more rent than they could afford. Their analysis looked at households in the bottom 40% of incomes and identified those who were either spending more than 30% of their income on rent, or who had very low residual income after paying rent.⁸⁹

The JRF report argued that social rented housing was the best option for the majority of these households, while other affordable housing options (such as Affordable Rent) would remain unaffordable.⁹⁰ The report endorsed Shelter's call for 90,000 new homes for social rent per year.⁹¹

Private rental affordability varies widely across England, as the map below shows. The map shows how monthly pay (based on weekly earnings data for April 2021) compares with the monthly rent for a two-bed home over the year to March 2021.

The map uses statistics on full-time workers' pay in the absence of robust local figures on total household income. This gives a less complete picture: tax and benefits aren't factored in, and part-time and self-employed workers are excluded.

19 of the 20 least affordable local authorities were in London, with rents representing the highest proportion of earnings in Westminster (69%), Kensington and Chelsea (64%) and Camden (57%). Local authorities in the North of England tended to be more affordable than those in the South. Manchester was the only Northern local authority where rents represented more than 37% of earnings.

⁸⁸ Shelter, [A vision for social housing](#), January 2019, p119


⁸⁹ Joseph Rowntree Foundation, [Renters on low incomes face a policy black hole: homes for social rent are the answer](#) (13 October 2021), p5

⁹⁰ As above, p10

⁹¹ As above, p16

Which areas have the least affordable private rents?

Median monthly rent for a two-bed home (2020/21) as a proportion of median monthly earnings (April 2021)



Source: ONS, [Private rental market summary statistics in England: April 2020 to March 2021](#); ONS, Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings (2021 dataset)

Notes: Earnings figures are scaled-up weekly earnings. Data is unavailable for North Northamptonshire and West Northamptonshire due to boundary changes.

Map © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. House of Commons Library 100040654 (2022)

Spending on housing benefits for private renters

Some low-income households in the private rented sector receive Housing Benefit (HB) or the housing element of Universal Credit (UC). Commentators have noted that spending on housing benefits has grown at the same time as


public spending on social housing development has fallen. For example, in a 2014 report Shelter commented:

Housing benefit is widely recognised as having facilitated a switch from supply side to demand side subsidies. The period following 1975 saw a move away from investment in bricks and mortar with a corresponding rise in expenditure on housing benefit. This was not an accidental shift. Successive governments remained committed to the idea that support should be targeted at individuals rather than bricks and mortar investment to increase the supply of housing.⁹²

Expenditure on HB in the private rented sector almost doubled in real terms between 1997/98 and 2012/13, growing from £5.9bn to £11.4bn in 2022/23 prices. Expenditure has since fallen, reaching a low of £5.1bn in 2020/21. This is partly due to the roll-out of UC replacing HB, and partly due to policy measures designed to reduce HB expenditure.⁹³

The chart below shows the number of households receiving either HB or the housing element of UC. The Covid-19 pandemic markedly increased the number of households receiving household support. The number rose from 1.53 million in February 2020 to a peak of 2.06 million in March 2021, an increase of 31%. The number has since decreased slightly, to 1.9 million in February 2022.

The jump reflects both an increase in need – as people’s personal circumstances were changed by the pandemic – and changes in the availability of support.




Source: DWP Stat-Xplore

The map below shows the geographic distribution of private renters receiving housing support in February 2022. It shows the number of these households as a rate per 1,000 households living in the area. Blackpool had the highest rate, followed by the London boroughs of Brent, Enfield and Newham. Other areas

⁹² Shelter, [Bricks or benefits?](#) (2014) p9

⁹³ DWP, [Benefit expenditure and caseload tables 2022](#), Outturn and Forecast tables: Spring Statement 2022 (24 May 2022)

with high rates were in parts of London, but also parts of the North West, North East, Yorkshire and some coastal areas.



Source: DWP Stat-Xplore; ONS, [2018-based household projections for England](#)

Map © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. House of Commons Library 100040654 (2022)

The areas with a high rate aren't the same as those with unaffordable rents identified in the last section, which means other factors are involved. Areas with high rates of housing support might have more households not in work and on low incomes (the affordability measure discussed above only considers employed people). Areas with a particularly large private rented

sector might also be more likely to have higher rates of privately renting households receiving housing support.

Overcrowding

Around 250,000 private-renting households and 316,000 social-renting households were overcrowded in 2020/21

Overcrowded housing in an area indicates unmet housing need. However, overcrowding can happen for a variety of reasons, with different implications for how the need can be met.

The English Housing Survey (EHS) collects data on overcrowded housing using the 'bedroom standard' measure. This measure determines the number of bedrooms a household needs based on the age, sex and relationships of household members, and then subtracts this from the number of bedrooms available to the household.⁹⁴ Households with fewer bedrooms than they need are deemed to be overcrowded.

An estimated 5.6% of households in the private rented sector were overcrowded in 2020/21, around 250,000 households. The proportion has increased long-term, from 3.4% in 1997/98.⁹⁵

Overcrowding in the private rented sector can happen if there are affordability constraints. Households might lack the resources to afford enough bedrooms, or to allow single adults who might want to live separately to do so.


Overcrowding in the social rented sector was estimated to be higher, at 7.9% of households (316,000 households). The proportion had previously peaked in 2010/11, having risen from 4.8% in 1997/98, and declined briefly before rising again.⁹⁶

Overcrowding in the social housing sector can be an indicator that social housing providers lack sufficient housing stock of the right size to accommodate eligible households. The issue is the availability of units with enough bedrooms, rather than the overall number of social housing units.

⁹⁴ A separate bedroom is allocated to each married or cohabiting couple, any other person aged 21 or over, each pair of adolescents aged 10-20 of the same sex, and each pair of children under 10. Any unpaired person aged 10-20 is paired, if possible, with a child under 10 of the same sex, or, if that is not possible, he or she is given a separate bedroom, as is any unpaired child under 10.

⁹⁵ DLUHC, [English housing survey 2020 to 2021: headline report](#) (9 December 2021), Annex Table 1.24

⁹⁶ As above



Source: DLUHC, [English housing survey 2020 to 2021: headline report](#), Annex Table 1.24

More detailed statistics on overcrowding, including overcrowding by constituency, can be found in the Library briefing [Overcrowded housing \(England\)](#). Analysis for this paper found that overcrowding is more common in London than in other parts of England.⁹⁷

⁹⁷ House of Commons Library, [Overcrowded housing \(England\) \(SN01013\)](#) (14 June 2021), pp13-14

5

The supply of social rented housing

Summary

- Social housing providers own around 4.2 million units of housing. Urban areas typically have higher concentrations of stock.
- The number of homes rented from social providers has fallen by 7% since 1997 and 24% since 1979. The fall has been most substantial in parts of the North of England.
- The stock of homes for social rent has fallen as new affordable products have become more common. The number of homes for social rent fell from an estimated 4.0 million in March 2013 to around 3.8 million in March 2021.
- The fall in the number of homes for social rent is due to factors including Right to Buy sales, conversions of properties from social rent to Affordable Rent, and low levels of new supply of homes for social rent.
- Social rent made up the majority of new affordable housing supply in each year up to 2011/12 but fell to 11% of new supply by 2020/21.
- Around 28,000 units of social housing are lost each year through sales and demolitions. Right to Buy accounts for most losses; sales are not currently being replaced one-for-one.

This section explains what the available data tells us about changes in the stock of homes for social rent, including figures on the supply of new homes and sales and demolitions of existing social housing stock.

5.1

How big is the social housing sector?


In March 2021, there were around 4.2 million units of housing owned by housing providers in England (1.6 million owned by local authorities and 2.6 million owned by housing associations).⁹⁸ This figure covers all stock owned by these providers, not just those let at social or affordable rents, and includes bedspaces managed by housing associations.

The map below shows the proportion of housing stock owned by social housing providers in each local authority area in March 2021. The highest

⁹⁸ DLUHC, [Live tables on dwelling stock \(including vacancies\)](#), Live Table 100 (12 May 2022)

proportions were in parts of inner London – including Hackney, Southwark, and Islington, where 40% of the housing stock in each borough is owned by social housing providers.

Outside of London, Norwich had the highest proportion (30%), followed by South Tyneside (30%) and Harlow (29%). Urban areas around the West Midlands conurbation, Tyneside, Greater Manchester and Liverpool all had relatively high proportions.



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on dwelling stock \(including vacancies\)](#), Live table 100 (12 May 2022)

Map © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. House of Commons Library 100040654 (2022)

5.2

Trends in the supply of social and affordable housing


The social housing sector is smaller now than it was in previous decades. This section describes trends in the size of the sector, including additions to and depletions from the stock, with a focus on the availability of homes for social rent.

Renting from social housing providers

The charts below show the trend in the number of homes rented from a local authority or housing association (at all rent levels, not just social rent).

4.1 million homes were rented from social housing providers in 2021. This is a fall of 24% since the high point of 5.5 million homes in 1979, and a fall of 7% since 1997. However, it is a 5% increase compared with a low point of 3.9 million homes in 2008.

The overall number of homes in England has grown since the 1970s. This means that the proportion of homes rented from a social housing provider has fallen more steadily than the absolute number, declining from 31% in 1979 to 17% in 2020.




Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on dwelling stock \(including vacants\)](#), Live table 104 (12 May 2022)

Notes: Figures include self-contained units but not bedspaces. Shared ownership units are not included.

The map overleaf shows trends in the proportion of households renting from social housing providers in each local authority, using census data. It shows the change in the number of households renting from a social housing provider between the 1991 census and the 2011 census.

Change in the size of the social housing sector

Percentage change in the number of households renting from a local authority or housing association, 1991 to 2011



Source: 2011 Census, Table KS402EW; 1991 Census, Table L20

Map © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. House of Commons Library 100040654 (2022)

Most local authorities (209 out of 326) had a decline in the number of households renting from a social housing provider. In general, there has been more of a decline in the North of England, but this is not exclusively the case. The biggest decreases were in Bromsgrove (38%), Durham (33%), Corby (33%) and Sunderland (33%). Outside of the North of England, there were also substantial decreases in Sandwell (28%), Walsall (24%) and Dudley (22%) in the West Midlands, and Harlow (23%) in the East of England.

The areas with the biggest increase were Bournemouth (32%), Croydon (25%) and West Devon (24%).

How has the number of homes let at social rents changed?

The analysis above looks at homes owned by and let from social housing providers. These providers own a range of different types of low-cost housing, not just homes for social rent. It is difficult to establish exactly how much the stock of homes for social rent has changed over time.

The HCLG Select Committee raised this issue in its report on the supply of social housing. The Committee found that while the Government publishes statistics on gross new supply of affordable homes, including homes for social rent, it does not publish a net figure which takes account of stock losses:

The Government publishes a considerable range of social housing supply statistics, but this does not provide the full picture. The annual report on affordable housing supply shows gross annual supply of affordable homes, which the Government accepts “includes new build and acquisitions but [does] not take account of losses through demolitions or sales” [...]

By not including sales, demolitions, or conversions between tenures, this overall gross figure does not reflect the actual change in social housing stock.⁹⁹

More detail on additions to and depletions from the stock of social housing is available in sections 5.3 and 5.4 of this briefing paper, respectively.

In its response to the HCLG Select Committee, the Government said it would investigate the feasibility of publishing data on net supply of affordable housing.¹⁰⁰

While there is no official data setting out the components of net change in the stock of homes for social rent, it is possible to estimate the change in recent years, using data reported by local authorities and by private registered providers of social housing.

Local authorities reported owning around 1.54 million self-contained properties for social rent at the end of 2020/21, a **decrease of 9%** on the 1.68 million owned at the end of 2012/13.¹⁰¹

Private registered providers reported owning around 2.26 million properties and bedspaces for social rent at the end of 2020/21 (note that this is a

⁹⁹ Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee, [Building more social housing](#), 27 July 2020, HC 173 2019-21, paras 24-25

¹⁰⁰ DLUHC, [Government Response to the Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee report on the Long-term Delivery of Social and Affordable Rented Housing](#), CP 299, October 2020, p5

¹⁰¹ DLUHC, [Local authority housing statistics data returns for 2012/13 and 2020/21](#), Section A. These figures are likely to be a slight over-estimate. Local authorities generally report all their stock as either ‘social rent’ or ‘affordable rent’ in the annual statistical return published by MHCLG. This implies that other products, such as intermediate rent and shared ownership, are reported in one of these two categories.

broader set of properties than the local authority figure above). This is a **decrease of 2%** on the 2.32 million owned at the end of 2012/13.¹⁰²

The number of homes for social rent has fallen by an estimated 5% between 2013 and 2021


Combining the two figures gives a rough estimate of around **3.8 million social rent units** at the end of 2020/21, **down around 5%** on 4.0 million at the end of 2012/13. This figure counts bedspaces for private registered providers but not local authorities.

Comparing these figures with the total stock of housing owned by local authorities and private registered providers suggests that around 91% of stock was for social rent in 2020/21, compared with 98% in 2012/13. The proportion of local authority stock fell from almost 100% to around 98%, while the proportion of private registered provider stock fell from around 97% to around 91%.¹⁰³

Factors behind the decrease in the number of homes for social rent include Right to Buy sales, conversions of properties from social rent to Affordable Rent, and low levels of new supply of homes for social rent. Some of these factors are discussed in more detail in the next two sections of this briefing paper.

Has the availability of social rents for new tenants changed?

DLUHC also publishes data on the number and type of lettings made to new tenants by social housing providers. Providers made around 246,000 new lettings at social or affordable rents in 2020/21 (this number also includes a few hundred Rent to Buy lettings).



Source: DLUHC, [Social housing lettings in England, April 2020 to March 2021](#), Summary table 1a (28 July 2022)

¹⁰² Regulator of Social Housing, [Statistical Data Return data releases for 2012/13 and 2020/21](#), House of Commons Library analysis. Figures are a slight underestimate because of non-response from providers in the data return.

¹⁰³ DLUHC, [Live tables on dwelling stock \(including vacancies\)](#), Live Table 100

The number of new social and affordable lettings was around 20% lower than the year before, which may have been caused by a reduction in house moves while Covid-19 restrictions were in place. There has also been a longer-term decline in the number of new lettings made, with the number in 2019/20 19% lower than in 2012/13.

5.3


Additions to the social and affordable housing stock

New supply of affordable housing

DLUHC publishes statistics on the new supply of affordable housing in each year. As discussed in section 1 of this briefing, the term ‘affordable housing’ covers social and other low-cost rental housing as well as affordable home ownership products. The figures include both new builds and acquisitions.

There were around 52,100 new affordable homes supplied in 2020/21, around 12% fewer than the year before. Delivery of affordable homes was at its lowest in 2015/16, at around 32,600 homes, and peaked in 1995/96, at around 74,500 homes.¹⁰⁴


As the chart below shows, housing for social rent represents a declining proportion of new affordable homes. Social rent made up the majority of new affordable housing supply in each year up to 2011/12 but fell to 11% in 2020/21. Around 6,000 new homes for social rent were delivered in 2020/21, compared with a peak of around 56,900 in 1992/93.



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on affordable housing supply](#), Live table 1000 (23 June 2022)

¹⁰⁴ DLUHC, [Live tables on affordable housing supply](#), Live table 1000 (23 June 2022)

As the delivery of homes for social rent has fallen, the number of new homes for other types of rent has increased – especially Affordable Rent, which accounts for 44% of new affordable housing delivered since 2011/12 and 46% of new affordable housing delivered in 2020/21. Affordable home ownership has also increased: this category accounted for 35% of delivery in 2020/21.




Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on affordable housing supply](#), Live table 1000 (23 June 2022)

The map overleaf shows the number of new homes for social rent supplied in each local authority over the last five years, per 1,000 households living in the area at the start of the period.

Areas with high levels of recent supply relative to the population include parts of the West midlands such as Stratford-on-Avon, Wychavon, and Malvern Hills; Wokingham in the South East; South Derbyshire in the East Midlands; and South Gloucestershire and North Devon in the South West.

In 49 local authorities, no homes for social rent were constructed over the five-year period.



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on affordable housing supply](#), Live table 10006C; ONS, [2018-based household projections for England](#)

Map © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. House of Commons Library 100040654 (2022)


Are social housing providers building new homes?

DLUHC also publishes statistics on total new homes built, showing whether the homes were built by local authorities, housing associations or the private sector. The series is an undercount of the total number of homes built but provides an indication of the extent of building by each sector over time.

The type of developer responsible for building a home doesn't always correspond to the home's final use. Social housing providers may build homes for private sale, for example. This data doesn't tell us about the supply of social or affordable housing, but it does tell us about the extent to which social housing providers are building their own stock.

As the chart below shows, the pattern of who builds new homes has changed dramatically since the mid-20th century. Local authorities built most new homes after the Second World War, up until the late 1950s.


The number of new homes built by local authorities peaked in 1967 at around 155,000 homes, before declining to fewer than a thousand completions per year by the early 1990s. The highest levels of overall housebuilding reached in England coincided with the period in which large numbers of homes were completed by local authorities.



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on housing supply: indicators of new supply](#), Live Table 244, 22 June 2022

The chart overleaf shows the proportion of new homes completed by local authorities and housing associations in more recent years.

The proportion of homes completed by local authorities has risen very slightly, accounting for less than 1% of completions before 2010 but between 1% and 2% afterwards. Housing associations account for a much higher proportion of new homes: 18% in 2021, down from a peak of 23% in 2011.



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on housing supply: indicators of new supply](#), Live Table 244, 22 June 2022


5.4

Depletions from the social housing stock

An average of 28,000 units of social housing have been sold or demolished each year over the last five years

The availability of social housing is affected by depletions from the existing stock as well as additions. The Right to Buy, which allows some social housing tenants to buy their home at a discount, accounts for most loss of stock in the long term.


The chart below shows trends in the number of social housing sales and demolitions between 1997/98 and 2020/21. There have been 28,000 units of social housing lost per year on average over the last five years: higher than the earlier half of the 2010s, but considerably lower than in the late 1990s and early 2000s.



Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on social housing sales](#), Live Tables 678 and 684, 22 June 2022

Most losses of social housing stock are through Right to Buy sales (although demolitions were also higher in the late 1990s and early 2000s, contributing

to the high number of losses in this period. As the chart below shows, local authorities have historically seen considerably more loss of stock than private registered providers, although numbers have been closer together in the last decade.




Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on social housing sales](#), Live Tables 678 and 684, 22 June 2022

Housing association tenants do not usually have the Right to Buy (unless their home was transferred to a housing association during their secure tenancy, in which case they may have a “preserved” Right to Buy). Right to Buy sales accounted for around 19% of housing association losses in 2020/21, compared with around 78% of local authority losses.

Trends in Right to Buy sales

There have been 1.99 million Right to Buy sales between 1980 and March 2021

The Right to Buy was introduced in October 1980, allowing some tenants of social housing providers to purchase their home at a discount. The introduction of Right to Buy was followed by numerous changes to eligibility and discount levels over the years.




Source: DLUHC, [Live tables on social housing sales](#), Live Tables 678, 22 June 2022

The above chart shows trends in Right to Buy sales since its introduction. There were 1.99 million sales between the start of the scheme and the end of

March 2021. Sales were at their highest near the start of the scheme, peaking at around 167,100 in 1982/83. Smaller peaks in sales occurred at the end of the 1980s and in the mid-2000s, before declining to much lower levels. On average, there were around 3,600 sales per year between 2008/09 and 2011/12.

The map below shows the rate of Right to Buy sales by local authorities over the last five years, per hundred units of existing local authority stock.



Source: DLUHC, Social housing sales open data (22 June 2022) and Live Table 100 (12 May 2022)

Map © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. House of Commons Library 100040654 (2022)

Housing association sales are not shown because these figures are not routinely published with a geographic breakdown. The map only shows local authorities with at least 500 units of their own stock. Local authorities that have transferred all or most of their stock to housing associations are not shown.

Right to Buy sales were highest relative to existing stock in Leicester (9 sales per 100 existing units of stock), Derby (6), and Nottingham (6). In general, sales were lower in local authorities in the South East and East of England than in the North and Midlands.

Right to Buy replacements are not keeping up with sales

The Coalition Government's plan to reinvigorate Right to Buy sales came with a commitment for one-to-one replacement of properties sold, but actual replacements are falling short of this commitment.

The Government's commitment is to ensure the replacement of additional homes sold under the enhanced Right to Buy (rather than all Right to Buy sales). When a local authority sells a home under Right to Buy, it has three years from the date of sale to either start or acquire a new 'replacement' using a portion of the receipts from the sale. The replacement home doesn't have to be like-for-like in terms of size, or in terms of letting type – replacements can be let at any level of low-cost rent, even if the original home was let at social rent.

DLUHC publishes a quarterly statistical release which includes an assessment of whether this commitment is being met. DLUHC looks at national rather than local figures to gauge success.

In March 2018, the Government acknowledged for the first time that new housing starts and acquisitions were “falling short” of the commitment to replace additional sales within three years.¹⁰⁵ Subsequent statistical releases have confirmed this is still the case. The release covering the period January to March 2021 said:

Between Q1 2012-13 and Q4 2020-21 there were 36,380 additional affordable properties started or acquired, falling short of the three years' replacement commitment. This is measured against the replacement target 46,108 (i.e. the number of additional sales between Q1 2012-13 and Q4 2017-18).¹⁰⁶

This means DLUHC estimates there were 46,108 additional sales between March 2012 (when the commitment started) and the end of March 2018. These should have been replaced by the end of March 2021 to meet the replacement target, but only 36,380 replacements had been started.

¹⁰⁵ DLUHC, [Right to Buy sales in England: October to December 2017](#) (29 March 2018)

¹⁰⁶ DLUHC, [Right to Buy sales in England: January to March 2021](#) (15 July 2021)

It is worth noting that these 33,238 homes are being counted as replacements for homes sold between 2012 and March 2018. Sales from April 2018 onwards do not yet have any attributable replacements under this method.

6 Social rented housing: prospects

Following Theresa May's reference to building a "new generation of council homes to help fix our broken housing market" in August 2018,¹⁰⁷ and the lifting of Housing Revenue Account borrowing caps later that year, the sector began to consider the possibility of a return to large-scale development of social rented housing.

As noted in section 3, the Government thought lifting the borrowing caps would enable councils to build around 10,000 new homes per year. The Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) provided a slightly more cautious forecast:

The Government's announcement that it will lift the Housing Revenue Account borrowing cap with immediate effect is expected to lead to higher housebuilding by local authorities, although we have assumed that this partly crowds out some private sector housebuilding. We expect the removal of the cap to increase aggregate housebuilding by an additional 9,000 over the forecast period, as an increase in public sector housebuilding of just over 20,000 is partly offset by lower private sector housebuilding (including by housing associations).¹⁰⁸

The Affordable Homes Programme 2021-26 is expected to deliver 32,000 new social rented homes.¹⁰⁹ The background briefing notes to the 2021 Queen's Speech said the programme would deliver "more social housing than the Programme's predecessor."¹¹⁰ Some in the sector were disappointed by the focus on measures to boost home ownership in the 2021 Budget.¹¹¹ Estimates for future delivery of social rented housing are substantially short of the levels of development called for by Shelter and others (see section 4.1). Surveys of local authorities by bodies such as the Local Government Association (LGA) and Savills have identified a desire to resume and/or accelerate housebuilding. Savills Housing Sector Survey 2019 referred to "exciting opportunities":

Perhaps the most notable is the potential increase in delivery from local authorities following the lifting of the HRA debt cap. Encouragingly, 70% of local authorities who responded to our capacity survey are planning to increase their delivery of affordable homes – 25% expect a big increase.¹¹²

¹⁰⁷ MHCLG, Social Housing Green Paper, [A new deal for social housing](#), (PDF) 14 August 2018, Cm 9671, The Foreword.

¹⁰⁸ OBR, [Economic and fiscal outlook](#), (PDF) Cm 9713, November 2018, p50

¹⁰⁹ [HC Deb 18 May 2021 c646](#)

¹¹⁰ [Queen's Speech 2021 – Background briefing notes](#), (PDF) May 2021, p38

¹¹¹ Inside Housing "Budget 2021: how the sector reacted to Rishi Sunak's housing announcements", 3 March 2021 [Login required]

¹¹² [Savills Housing Sector Survey 2019](#), 25 June 2019

The [Levelling Up white paper](#) (February 2022) referred to “significant unmet need for social housing” and contained a commitment to increase supply:

The UK Government will also increase the amount of social housing available over time to provide the most affordable housing to those who need it. This will include reviewing how to support councils to deliver greater numbers of council homes, alongside Housing Associations.¹¹³

Michael Gove, then-Secretary of State at the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) addressed an event hosted by Shelter in April 2022 and spoke of the need to develop more social homes:

The quality of the private rented sector, the circumstances in which people find themselves, the inadequacy of so many of those homes, the fragility and vulnerability that so many people find in their daily lives ... is insupportable and indefensible ... that is a function of broader supply questions, but it is also a critical function of our failure to ensure that there are homes that are genuinely affordable for rent, our failure to ensure that there are more social homes.

If we want to have functioning communities, if we want to have our cities and towns having places where keyworkers and individuals who keep our public services going can ensure that they have a decent roof over their heads and raise a family in stability and security, then we need more social homes.¹¹⁴

Mr Gove confirmed his desire to see an increase in social housing provision during an evidence session with the Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee on 13 June 2022. He agreed with the Chair’s suggestion that there was more to do to achieve that aim.¹¹⁵

The following sections consider how providers are responding, what barriers they face, and how they might be addressed.

6.1

How are providers responding?

A joint report published by the CIH, National Federation of ALMOs (NFA) and the Association of Retained Council Housing (Arch) in January 2020, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), was based on a sample survey of authorities in England with retained housing stock. Of the 40 authorities contacted, 22 provided detailed responses. Based on these responses, the authors concluded:

- Most authorities with retained stock planned to expand their housing delivery.

¹¹³ Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC), [Levelling Up the United Kingdom](#), February 2022, p224

¹¹⁴ [‘There is a people’s case for the reform of social housing – more and better’ says Michael Gove at Shelter conference - Shelter England](#), 21 April 2022

¹¹⁵ [Oral evidence: Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill](#), (PDF) HC 309, 13 June 2022, Q17 & Q18

- The lifting of the borrowing cap was a significant factor in the decision to increase delivery but was not the only factor.
- There are significant variations in authorities' plans. Some were planning for 50 or fewer additional homes per year while some larger authorities were planning a pipeline of around 500 units per year.
- Around half of the councils planned to build at social rents while others were planning for affordable rents.¹¹⁶ Cross-subsidy was a common feature of development plans.
- Plans are diverse, reflecting local needs and varying responses to national policies.
- Many are reliant on grant funding, but a minority rely on other funding, including RTB receipts.
- Based on the responses, the authors thought the Treasury's estimate of authorities delivering 10,000 homes as a result of lifting the borrowing caps would be met and possibly exceeded.¹¹⁷

The report cross referenced other surveys covering authorities' development plans, the links to which are provided on page 15 of [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#).

In October 2019, Inside Housing published the results of FOI requests from 240 authorities. Looking ahead to 2024/25, authorities reported ambitions to build 78,651 homes with 54% set to be delivered through Housing Revenue Accounts.¹¹⁸

Some authorities, after having divested themselves of their housing stock, have taken a decision to re-open their Housing Revenue Accounts (HRAs) in order to develop more than the 200 units of social housing they are allowed to hold outside of their HRAs.¹¹⁹ Liverpool City Council is a high-profile example of this. Others include Bradford, Peterborough and Sunderland Councils. The London Borough of Bromley was reported to be considering this option in 2020.¹²⁰

The authors of [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#) identified two overarching messages from authorities' responses:

¹¹⁶ Rents at up to 80% of local market rents.

¹¹⁷ CIH; NFA; Arch, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), January 2020, p3

¹¹⁸ Inside Housing, "[Council housebuilding: back with a vengeance](#)" 11 October 2019 [Login required]

¹¹⁹ There is a 200-unit maximum on council ownership of housing stock outside of a Housing Revenue Account in England. [MHCLG Guidance, 24 June 2020](#)

¹²⁰ Inside Housing, "London borough to set up HRA to bring down temporary accommodation costs", 1 July 2020 [Login required]

Now that councils have been encouraged to embark on long-term investment programmes, stability is vital: there needs to be a consistent approach to rents policy and no wider policy changes that would compromise the major commitments which councils are now taking on.

Councils' approaches to new build are very diverse: they need more local discretion to give them powers and flexibility on key issues such as RTB, access to grants and local rent levels to deliver what their local communities need.¹²¹

London

In the capital, the Mayor, Sadiq Khan, established a Homebuilding Capacity Fund of £10 million in 2018 to help authorities deliver his 'Building Council Homes for Londoners Programme'. The objectives of the programme are to:

Build at least 10,000 new council and Right to Buy replacement homes. These will be started by 2022, focused on social rent levels, and will include homes in receipt of funding through this and other GLA programmes, as well as homes the Mayor is supporting councils to build by other means;

Support council homebuilding in every borough in London by giving any council that wishes to build new council homes the opportunity to do so, thereby helping to diversify London's homebuilding industry; and

Boost London's council-homebuilding capacity with the ambition that by the mid-2020s London's councils are building thousands of new council homes each year, compared with hundreds per year at present. With additional support from central Government, this ambition should be stretched even further.¹²²

The [Greater London Authority's website](#) says that through the Capacity Fund plans have been agreed with 27 London boroughs to start building 11,000 new council homes at social rent levels by 2022.¹²³

Under the Building Council Homes for Londoners programme, grant levels are £100,000 per unit.¹²⁴

The Mayor secured £4 billion of the AHP 2021-26 for London. There is an ambition for half of this to fund new social rented homes. The 2016-23 programme in London was extended by one year due to the pandemic, so the new AHP is running concurrently with an aim of delivering 82,000 new homes from April 2021. The Mayor's website said in November 2020:

¹²¹ CIH; NFA; Arch, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), January 2020, p4

¹²² Greater London Authority, [Building Council Homes for Londoners – Funding Prospectus](#), May 2018, para 11

¹²³ Accessed on 24 June 2022.

¹²⁴ Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G; Fitzpatrick S: 2020 UK Housing Review, p71

The Mayor has long argued that the most acute need in London is for low-cost homes to rent and has persuaded the Government to allow more than half the new programme to fund social rented homes.¹²⁵

Analysis conducted by City Hall with the G15 and other representatives of the affordable housing sector, considered the amount of grant funding needed to meet the London Plan's target of delivering 50% affordable housing and delivering 32,500 new affordable homes in each year between 2022 and 2032. The analysis found:

...the grant required to deliver a new 2022-2032 affordable homes programme of 22,750 social rent homes, 6,500 shared ownership homes and 3,250 intermediate rent homes is estimated to be £4.9 billion per year.¹²⁶

In March 2022, the Mayor referred to a “resurgence in council homebuilding” on publication of figures showing more than 11,000 new City Hall-funded council homes had been started since 2018.¹²⁷

6.2 Barriers and potential solutions

Additional grant funding

Lifting the HRA borrowing caps has increased councils' ability to borrow. The measure has not increased capital funding allocated to the Affordable Homes Programme. Providers can deliver homes at social rents without grant funding (see section 3) but delivering at the scale required to meet housing need will demand substantial levels of additional investment. The [2019 UK Housing Review Autumn Briefing Paper](#) (PDF) noted:

Although many councils are building without grant, growth probably does depend on additional grant funding at levels which allow building for social rent.¹²⁸

In preparation for the Comprehensive Spending Review which was expected to take place in 2019, the Chartered Institute of Housing (CIH), Shelter, National Housing Federation (NHF), Crisis and the Campaign for the Protection of Rural England, joined forces to call on the Government to provide subsidy of around £14.6 billion per year over ten years to secure 90,000 new social rented homes annually over the period. The CIH said:

This might sound like a lot of money to ask for, but it represents around 44 per cent of total build costs, with the biggest contribution coming from a combination of private borrowing, cross subsidy from sales, the implied cross

¹²⁵ [Mayor sets out blueprint for new generation of social housing](#), 24 November 2020

¹²⁶ GLA, [Landmark report shows £4.9bn a year needed to deliver affordable homes](#), 24 June 2019

¹²⁷ [Mayor hits council housing target with over 11,000 started since 2018 | London City Hall](#), 22 March 2022

¹²⁸ Perry J, Stephens M; Williams P; Fitzpatrick S; Young G: [2019 UK Housing Review Autumn Briefing Paper](#). (PDF) p9

subsidy from section 106 contributions by private developers, and discounted land values.

We also need to bear in mind that government has already committed £51 billion to housing with 79 per cent of it supporting the private market through schemes like Help to Buy. Redistributing existing funding so that new social rented homes get a fairer slice of the cake would go a long way towards investing in our country's future.¹²⁹

The NHF's submission called for investment of £12.8 billion a year in real terms and for an average grant level per home of around 44%. They proposed that housing associations would raise the remaining funding themselves and said with this level of investment they could deliver truly affordable homes.¹³⁰

The modelling carried out to reach the estimated grant funding required was set out in a technical note published by the NHF.¹³¹

The Chartered Institute of Housing's (CIH) submission to the March 2022 Budget said delivering 145,000 affordable homes with 90,000 at social rents over 10 years would have an updated cost of around £52 billion:

A programme to meet the full scale of need would have an (updated) cost of about £52 billion, of which £14 billion would be capital grant and the rest raised by social landlords (Legal & General). Grant for social rented homes would have to rise to £175,000 per unit. This is far above current investment levels.¹³²

Shelter's [A vision for social housing](#) (January 2019) recommended an "historic renewal of social housing, with a 20-year programme to deliver 3.1 million more social homes." To fund the programme, the report called on all political parties to "rediscover publicly built housing as a key pillar of our national infrastructure."¹³³ Capital Economics carried out work for Shelter's Commission on the Future of Social Housing to provide a cost-benefit analysis of increased investment in social housing. The Capital Economics report was published alongside [A vision for social housing](#) in January 2019.¹³⁴ Shelter's 2019 report used the research to support the case for additional public expenditure on social housing:

Over twenty years, Capital Economics show the gross additional cost is on average £10.7 billion per year. However, this gross cost will be reduced, firstly by the direct benefits to government of increased infrastructure spending and savings in the welfare system, and secondly by the returns to government arising from the knock-on economic benefits across the economy.

If all these savings to government are considered, Capital Economics estimate the maximum net cost to government in the most expensive year could be

¹²⁹ CIH, [My three wishes for the comprehensive spending review 2019](#), 7 August 2019

¹³⁰ NHF, [Let's end the housing crisis](#), (PDF) 2019

¹³¹ NHF, [Capital grant required to meet social housing need in England 2021-2031](#), (PDF) June 2019

¹³² CIH, [Submission to the chancellors march-2022-budget-statement](#), (PDF) 15 March 2022

¹³³ Shelter, [A vision for social housing](#), January 2019, chapter 8

¹³⁴ Chaloner J; Colquhoun G; Pragnell M: Capital Economics, [Increasing investment in social housing](#), (PDF) January 2019

much lower – £5.4 billion. And on this basis, Capital Economics assess that if funded in the early years through borrowing, the programme pays back in full over 39 years.

This would represent a substantial investment, but we believe it is essential to meet the needs of people across our country. In comparison, government currently spends £21 billion annually on housing benefit, and budgets £62 billion on capital expenditure annually.¹³⁵

In April 2022, Shelter published [Unlocking Social Housing](#) which looks at the barriers to developing social rented housing in England and suggests solutions. It covers several of the issues considered in this paper, including the need for additional grant funding.¹³⁶

The Affordable Housing Commission, which reported in March 2020, called for a raft of measures including a “return to 2010 grant levels to build 90,000 social rented homes, with greater support to get councils building again”.¹³⁷

An inquiry by the Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee (HCLG) into the long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing reported in July 2020. The Committee endorsed calls for an enhanced delivery programme, saying “There is compelling evidence that England needs at least 90,000 net additional social rent homes a year.”¹³⁸ The Committee expressed regret over the lack of a published plan on social housing:

It is disappointing that the Government does not have a published plan on social housing, nor has its own assessment of social housing need. We regard an estimate of need to be essential to calculating how much investment the Government may need to make to meet social housing need and deliver such a “step change”.¹³⁹

The Government response rejected the call to set net additional targets for the delivery of specific tenures, including social rented housing.¹⁴⁰ A recommendation to count investment in social housing as infrastructure spending, rather than day-to-day spending, was not directly addressed.¹⁴¹

The 2022 UK Housing Review refers to diverting funds away from support for home ownership in the short-term to deliver social rented homes, but, as in

¹³⁵ Shelter, [A vision for social housing - Executive summary](#), January 2019

¹³⁶ Shelter, [Unlocking Social Housing](#), 20 April 2022

¹³⁷ Affordable Housing Commission, [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation's Housing System](#), (PDF) March 2020, p20

¹³⁸ Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, [Building more social housing](#), HC 173, 2019-20, July 2020, para 53

¹³⁹ As above, para 54

¹⁴⁰ MHCLG, [Government Response to the Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee report on the Long-term Delivery of Social and Affordable Rented Housing](#), CP 299, October 2020, p6

¹⁴¹ As above, p8

2019, the authors conclude that more public funding is needed.¹⁴² This, the review argues, can be justified on grounds of social and economic benefits.¹⁴³

The review argues the need for higher grant levels per home is a result of successive governments ignoring “the backlog of unmet need that has been growing year by year, and now demands much more investment than would have been the case if it had been addressed earlier.”¹⁴⁴

Research conducted by the CIH with the Centre for Homelessness Impact (October 2021) identified potential for an annual increase in social rented housing of 10,000 to be financed by direct savings in temporary accommodation costs and in housing benefit/universal credit which would otherwise be paid to those in private rented housing.¹⁴⁵

Delivering an additional 10,000 units up to 2026 is estimated to cost £700 million a year (with grants of £70,000 per unit) which translates into an increase in the AHP of 28%, the cost of which, the authors conclude, would be “substantially” off-set.¹⁴⁶

The Right to Buy and retention of capital receipts

Local authorities do not retain 100% of capital receipts raised from the sale of council housing under the Right to Buy (RTB). This is a source of frustration for authorities who have long argued they would be better placed to replace the sold stock if they could retain 100% of receipts.¹⁴⁷

Alongside the commitment to reinvigorate the RTB by increasing the discount levels, the 2015 Government also committed to one-for-one replacement of the sold properties.¹⁴⁸ It was recognised that RTB receipts would need to be supplemented by borrowing, provider contributions in the form of land, or other funding to achieve one-for-one replacement.

Following a consultation exercise on the proposed process for the replacement mechanism,¹⁴⁹ the Government published guidance for local authorities which limited the use of RTB receipts to cover 30% of the cost of a replacement home.¹⁵⁰

The joint report published by the CIH, National Federation of ALMOs (NFA) and the Association of Retained Council Housing (Arch), [Local authority new](#)

¹⁴² Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#), (PDF) March 2022, pp41-42

¹⁴³ As above

¹⁴⁴ As above, p42

¹⁴⁵ Perry J, Lister S: Centre for Homelessness Impact and CIH, [Housing for people on low incomes – how do we make the best use of government subsidies in England?](#), (PDF) October 2021

¹⁴⁶ As above and see: CIH, [Submission-to-the-chancellors-march-2022-budget-statement](#), (PDF) 15 March 2022

¹⁴⁷ See for example: Local Government Association: [LGA Budget Submission Autumn 2017 \(PDF\)](#)

¹⁴⁸ Note that one-for-one is not like-for-like.

¹⁴⁹ DCLG, [Reinvigorating the Right to Buy and one for one replacement](#), (PDF) December 2011

¹⁵⁰ DCLG, [Reinvigorating the Right to Buy and one for one replacement: information for local authorities](#), (PDF) March 2012

[build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#) (PDF, January 2020), described rules on the use of RTB receipts as “a severe impediment” to local authority building programmes.¹⁵¹

RTB sales increased after discount levels were increased by the 2015 Government. However, the commitment to one-for-one replacement of the additional properties sold is not being met. As previously noted, in its March 2018 statistical release, the Government acknowledged that housing starts were “falling short” of the commitment to replace additional sales within three years.¹⁵² Subsequent statistical releases have confirmed this trend.

Then-Housing Minister, Dominic Raab, issued a written statement in March 2018 commenting on the failure to meet the three-year replacement target.¹⁵³ The statement raised the possibility of additional flexibility on authorities’ use of capital receipts. An August 2018 consultation paper, [Use of receipts from Right to Buy sales](#), set out ideas aimed at changing the rules on local authorities’ use of money raised from RTB sales “in order to make it easier for them to build more homes.”¹⁵⁴

[The Government response](#) was published in March 2021. The following changes, aside from the acquisition cap, were implemented from 1 April 2021:

- Extending the timeframe local authorities have to spend new and existing Right to Buy receipts from 3 years to 5 years. This will make it easier for local authorities to undertake longer-term planning, including remediation of larger plots of land
- Increasing the percentage cost of a new home that local authorities can fund using Right to Buy receipts from 30% to 40%. This will make it easier for authorities to fund replacement homes using Right to Buy receipts, as well as making it easier to build homes for social rent
- Introducing a cap on the use of Right to Buy receipts for acquisitions to help drive new supply with effect from 1 April 2022, and phased in over 2022-23 to 2024-25
- Allowing receipts to be used for shared ownership and First Homes, as well as housing at affordable and social rent, to help local authorities build the types of home most needed in their communities.¹⁵⁵

The changes were welcomed by the sector. There’s agreement that the extended period for spending receipts, together with an increase in the proportion that can be used to fund a new home, will help boost councils’ ability to deliver affordable housing. More far-reaching reforms would have garnered additional support, such as allowing councils to retain 50% of RTB

¹⁵¹ CIH; NFA; Arch, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), January 2020, p4

¹⁵² MHCLG, [Right to Buy Sales in England: October to December 2017](#), p8

¹⁵³ [HCWS614, 29 March 2018](#)

¹⁵⁴ MHCLG, [Use of receipts from Right to Buy sales](#), August 2018, para 1

¹⁵⁵ MHCLG, [Use of receipts from Right to Buy sales](#), 19 March 2021

receipts and changes to the way discounts are calculated.¹⁵⁶ John Perry, writing for Inside Housing, argued the changes would not address the loss of social rented homes:

...which the UK Housing Review shows has now reached nearly 210,000 over the past eight years. Put simply, we've got to build a lot more than the current 6,000 social rented homes annually to replace sales of up to 12,000, and that's before we factor in the massive need for additional stock at genuinely affordable rents.¹⁵⁷

The LGA has been vocal in calling for local authorities to have power to set local discounts.¹⁵⁸ The Affordable Housing Commission (March 2020) acknowledged the popularity of the RTB but called for a radical overhaul:

...including giving councils and housing associations discretion over the level of discount they offer, complete control over receipts and the opportunity to restrict any letting by a purchaser (e.g. requiring consent for letting the property).¹⁵⁹

In [Building more social housing](#) (July 2020), the HCLG Committee also called for extensive reforms to the Right to Buy.¹⁶⁰

On 9 June 2022 [Boris Johnson said](#): “I want us to deliver on the long-standing commitment, made by several governments, to extend the right to buy to housing associations.”¹⁶¹ On 13 June 2022 Michale Gove told the Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee that funding for discounts and replacement homes under an extended RTB would come from “across Government”.¹⁶² He also referred to replacing sold homes on a like-for-like basis. The number of tenants able to exercise the voluntary RTB will be capped and will be linked to the level of funding available.¹⁶³

Following the June 2022 announcement, the sector expressed concern that an extension to the RTB would reduce the number of affordable homes.¹⁶⁴ For more information on the impact of the RTB see Library paper, [A voluntary Right to Buy for housing association tenants in England](#).

¹⁵⁶ Inside Housing, “The Right to Buy changes are good but not good enough”, 25 March 2021 [login required]

¹⁵⁷ Inside Housing, “The Treasury has made £47bn from Right to Buy but we have paid a price in lost social housing”, 29 March 2021 [Login required]

¹⁵⁸ Inside Housing “LGA warns of social housing ‘fire sale’ as Right to Buy discounts hit £3.5bn” 2 February 2018 [Login required]

¹⁵⁹ Affordable Housing Commission, [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation's Housing System](#), (PDF) March 2020, p23

¹⁶⁰ Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, [Building more social housing](#), HC 173, 2019-20, July 2020, section 6

¹⁶¹ [Prime Minister's Housing Speech: 9 June 2022 - GOV.UK](#) [accessed on 27 June 2022]

¹⁶² [Parliamentlive.tv - Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee](#)

¹⁶³ As above

¹⁶⁴ CIH, [CIH responds to Government plans to revive Right to Buy for housing association tenants](#), 3 May 2022

The cost of borrowing

Local authorities can borrow from the Public Works Loans Board (PWLB) for long-term capital projects, such as housebuilding schemes, at rates which tend to be lower than those offered on the private market.

On 9 October 2019 the Treasury announced an increase of 1% in the cost of borrowing from the PWLB with immediate effect.¹⁶⁵ This was commented on in [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#):

While the recent increase is within the margins which most councils appear to have allowed, it undoubtedly tightens the finances for new build within their HRAs. In many cases, where councils depend on LHCs to mount their new build programmes, it will increase their costs (as loan charges are linked to PWLB rates).¹⁶⁶

The authors noted reports of 36 London boroughs writing to the Chancellor asking for a reversal.¹⁶⁷ When asked about the assessment of impact on new housing provision the Government said:

The Government keeps all policy under review and alongside the increase of the Public Works Loan Board rates the Government has legislated to increase the lending limit from £85 billion to £95 billion, reflecting its commitment that local authorities continue to have access to the financing they need to support their capital plans. We have been engaging the local government sector, to understand the potential impact the interest rate rise could have on individual local authorities' capital plans and strategies, especially with regard to housing and regeneration. As ever, we expect local authorities to have robust financial plans in place which take into account the risk of changing economic circumstances as part of taking a prudent approach to borrowing.¹⁶⁸

The March 2020 Budget announced a reversal of the increase in respect of investment in social housing:

...the government will consult on revising the terms of PWLB lending to ensure LAs continue to invest in housing, infrastructure and front-line services. To further enable high quality investment by local authorities, the government is cutting the interest rates for investment in social housing by 1 percentage point, and making an extra £1.15 billion of discounted loans available for local infrastructure projects.¹⁶⁹

A consistent plea from social housing providers is for certainty in their operating environment. Short notice changes in policy affecting key factors such as borrowing costs and rent increases serve to inhibit providers' ability to plan, which in turn affects delivery levels.

¹⁶⁵ HM Treasury, [Letter to Local Authority Finance Officers](#), (PDF) 9 October 2019

¹⁶⁶ CIH; NFA; Arch, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), January 2020, p4

¹⁶⁷ As above, p14

¹⁶⁸ [Social Rented Housing: Construction: Written question – HL541, 5 November 2019](#)

¹⁶⁹ HM Treasury, [Budget 2020](#), (PDF) HC121, March 2020, para 1.123

Focus on existing stock

Funding fire safety measures

In the wake of the Grenfell Tower fire, social and affordable home providers have been required to identify blocks with unsafe cladding and have been prompted to carry out additional fire safety assessment work.

In 2018 the Government committed to meeting the reasonable cost of the removal and replacement of Aluminium Composite Material (ACM) from blocks at and over 18 metres in height owned by councils and housing associations.

In the March 2020 Budget, the Government announced it would provide £1 billion in 2020 to 2021 to support the remediation of unsafe non-ACM cladding systems on residential buildings 18 metres and over in both the private and social housing sectors.¹⁷⁰ A further £3.5 billion towards this work was announced in February 2021.

There are doubts over the degree to which social landlords can benefit from the Building Safety Fund, as DLUHC has said that funding is only forthcoming where remediation costs threaten the viability of the provider or Housing Revenue Account.¹⁷¹

The NHF has assessed the post-Grenfell cost of fire safety work across the housing association sector at over £10 billion.¹⁷² The 2022 UK Housing Review includes examples of housing associations who are cutting back their development programmes to prioritise spending on building safety.¹⁷³ The Local Government Association (LGA) told the Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee “an estimated £8.1 billion would be needed by stock-owning local authorities to meet building safety standards, including the installation of sprinklers.”¹⁷⁴

The Committee’s March 2022 report called for full access for social landlords to funds for remediation work:

The Government must stop pitting the building safety crisis against the housing crisis. Without access to funds for remediation where social tenants live, residents of social housing are paying the price through the diversion of funds from maintaining their homes and other vital services provided by housing associations and councils. Those on waiting lists and those who are homeless are paying the price through the decimation of planned new builds for affordable homes, with one in 10 planned developments axed. The principle that a leaseholder should be protected from costs, while a tenant, perhaps a neighbour in the same block, should contribute through their rent, is deeply

¹⁷⁰ MHCLG, [Remediation of non-ACM buildings](#), updated 1 June 2020

¹⁷¹ MHCLG, [Building Safety Fund: Registration Prospectus](#), May 2020, p6

¹⁷² Inside Housing, “Cost of fire safety work for housing associations will ‘easily exceed’ £10bn”, 2 March 2020 [Login required]

¹⁷³ Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#), (PDF) March 2022, p35

¹⁷⁴ [Written evidence submitted by the Local Government Association](#) [BRF 121] (PDF) February 2022

*unfair. Social landlords must have full access to funds for building safety remediation—ideally our recommended Comprehensive Building Safety Fund.*¹⁷⁵

The Government is establishing a Remediation Fund for works on blocks of between 11 and 18 metres. Social landlords' eligibility for this funding will be set out in due course.¹⁷⁶

The Government has said it is considering exempting social housing providers from the building safety levy which is aimed at raising funding from developers towards remediation costs because “applying a levy to affordable housing would increase the cost of developing affordable housing and is therefore likely to disincentivise supply.”¹⁷⁷ Non-profit providers of social housing will also be out of scope of the Residential Property Developer Tax.¹⁷⁸

There are circumstances in which social housing providers will have to meet remediation costs:

Social housing providers that are freeholders/landlords will be required to meet all non-cladding remediation costs where they are – or have links to – the developer, or where costs exceed the leaseholder cap. It is not our default expectation that they will have to fund in-scope remediation works from their own resources; we want them to be able to pursue those responsible for defective work. That is why we are bringing forward an ambitious toolkit of measures to allow those responsible for defective work to be pursued, including a cause of action relating to product manufacturers and the provisions enabling associated companies to be sued.¹⁷⁹

Calls to publish an impact assessment on the effects of fire safety remediation on maintaining and building social homes were rejected. The Government said, “we will continue to have regular discussions with social housing landlords about this.”¹⁸⁰

Decarbonisation of the existing stock

This is a further area of pressure on social housing providers' finances which could draw funding away from new development.

Analysis undertaken by Savills for the LGA “estimates that the additional investment costs to achieve net zero carbon in existing housing stock held within councils' Housing Revenue Accounts (HRA) is almost £1 billion per year over a 30-year pattern of investment.”¹⁸¹ The 2022 UK Housing Review

¹⁷⁵ Levelling Up, Housing and Communities Committee, [Building Safety: Remediation and Funding](#), 11 March 2022, HC 1063 2021-22, para 49

¹⁷⁶ DLUHC, [Building safety: remediation and funding - government response to the Select Committee reports](#), CP 683, 16 May 2022

¹⁷⁷ As above

¹⁷⁸ As above

¹⁷⁹ As above

¹⁸⁰ As above

¹⁸¹ [Comprehensive Spending Review 2020: LGA submission](#), 29 September 2020

describes this as “a considerable call on resources” compared with capital spend on new and existing stock of around £5-6 billion a year.¹⁸²

For housing associations, the NHF says the sector plans to invest £70 billion by 2050 to improve existing homes but refers to analysis by Savills which found “it will cost at least an additional £36bn to reach zero carbon emissions.”¹⁸³

Results from the Housing Sector Survey 2022, run by consultants RESAM with Social Housing magazine, reportedly found that 49% of sector respondents were intending to cut back on new build, of which 5% were planning to reduce their programmes by over 50 per cent.¹⁸⁴ Decarbonisation was identified as the most significant challenge facing providers over the next five years.¹⁸⁵

Land and planning

The CIH, National Federation of ALMOs (NFA) and the Association of Retained Council Housing (Arch) report [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#) (January 2020) noted that “practically all respondents mentioned land and planning constraints in some form”- issues identified covered:

- Difficulties and costs associated with land assembly – compounded by high land values.
- Challenges with ‘upfront’ activities such as buying out long leaseholders.
- The cost of compulsory purchase.
- The lack of an imperative to use available land for social/affordable housing.
- Infrastructure funding.
- Insufficient skilled planning staff.
- Lack of powers to revoke planning permission on stalled private sites.¹⁸⁶

During the HCLG Committee’s evidence session on the long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing on 8 June 2020, then-Housing Minister, Christopher Pincher was questioned about possible changes to the Land

¹⁸² Stephens M; Perry J; Williams P; Young G, [2022 UK Housing Review](#), (PDF) March 2022, p36

¹⁸³ [National Housing Federation - Decarbonisation of housing association homes - a briefing for external stakeholders](#), 19 October 2021

¹⁸⁴ Social Housing, “Half of providers scaling back planned development to prioritise existing homes,” 28 June 2022 [Login required]

¹⁸⁵ As above

¹⁸⁶ CIH; NFA; Arch, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), January 2020, pp12-13

Compensation Act 1961 to remove the requirement to sell surplus local authority land at the maximum possible price. Bob Blackman argued such a change would lower the cost of land and facilitate the development of social rented housing, particularly in London.¹⁸⁷ In his response, the Minister referred to the forthcoming planning white paper.¹⁸⁸

The Government published a consultation paper on compulsory purchase on 6 June 2022. The paper outlines a proposal aimed at facilitating the sale of land closer to existing use value and is seeking further evidence of how this approach may be in the public interest.¹⁸⁹ Submissions were invited to 19 July 2022.

The Committee heard evidence from the Deputy Mayor for Housing in London, Tom Copley, who expressed concern about the impact of the Government's First Homes policy¹⁹⁰ on the provision of affordable housing:

We are extremely worried about the impact of the proposed first homes programme on delivery of other forms of genuinely affordable housing. The work that we have done at City Hall has found that for every three first homes delivered through Section 106 we would lose two social rented homes. Indeed, in London, first homes would only be affordable to households with an income of around £76,000, which is only the top 2% of Londoners.¹⁹¹

The Committee concluded the First Homes proposals “have the potential to negatively impact on social housing delivery.”¹⁹²

Planning changes associated with the delivery of First Homes, to apply from 28 June 2021, were confirmed on 25 May 2021 and include:

A minimum of 25% of all affordable housing units secured through developer contributions should be First Homes. This is a national threshold which should be applied for England.¹⁹³

The same announcement covered how authorities should secure the remaining 75% of affordable housing through developer contributions:

The Government recognise the importance of social rent as part of the affordable housing tenure mix. A local authority should prioritise securing their policy requirements on social rent once they have secured the 25% First Homes requirement. Where other affordable housing units can be secured, these

¹⁸⁷ HCLG Committee: Oral evidence: Long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing, HC 173, 8 June 2020, [Q142 \(PDF\)](#)

¹⁸⁸ HCLG Committee: Oral evidence: Long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing, HC 173, 8 June 2020, [Q144 \(PDF\)](#)

¹⁸⁹ DLUHC, [Compulsory purchase - compensation reforms: consultation](#), 6 June 2022

¹⁹⁰ The intention is to offer these new homes to first-time buyers at a 30% discount on the market price, with the intention that the discount will remain for future buyers.

¹⁹¹ HCLG Committee: Oral evidence: Long-term delivery of social and affordable rented housing, HC 173, 8 June 2020, [Q109 \(PDF\)](#)

¹⁹² Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, [Building more social housing](#), HC 173, 2019-20, July 2020, para 99

¹⁹³ [HCWS50](#), 25 May 2021

tenure-types should be secured in the relative proportions set out in the development plan.¹⁹⁴

The White Paper, [Planning for the Future](#) (PDF, August 2020) set out proposals to reform section 106 contributions and the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to create an Infrastructure Levy (IL) as a nationally-set value-based flat rate charge. Reforms are contained in the Levelling Up and Regeneration Bill which is currently before Parliament.

Regulations will set out the detail of the IL. Charging authorities will be required to publish a charging schedule, setting out the IL rate in its area. In setting rates or other criteria, the charging authority will have to have regard (in line with IL regulations) to the level of affordable housing funded by developers and the level of funding provided by developers, over a specified period, ensuring it is “equal to or exceeds” that over an earlier period of the same length.

Emran Mian, Director General, Regeneration, Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities told the Committee of Public Accounts on 6 July 2022 that they would take a “test and learn” approach to the roll-out of the IL. He said a consultation would be launched “soon”.¹⁹⁵

Respondents have welcomed the move to locally set IL rates. There are continuing concerns that the changes will threaten delivery of affordable housing through developer contributions. For more comment see the Library paper: [Levelling Up and Regeneration Bill 2022-23 - House of Commons Library](#).

Capacity

In May 2021 Homes England [launched](#) a Local Government Capacity Centre to support local authorities in delivering more homes

It is some time since local authorities delivered large-scale housing development programmes. A lack of skilled staff has been identified as an issue across several fields, such as project management; procurement; development; and financial/business planning. It can be difficult to compete with salaries offered by large development companies.

As noted in section 6.1, the GLA has made funding available for London authorities to build their capacity. Authorities have called for Government to

work with Homes England and other funding bodies to invest in a ‘skills academy’ specifically for local authority developers. There is also a suggestion that housing associations could “be directed” to share their skills and expertise.¹⁹⁶

Building industry constraints are also raised as an issue; authorities are sometimes not the most attractive option for a developer due to “red tape of

¹⁹⁴ As above

¹⁹⁵ [Public Accounts Committee Oral evidence: Housing recall, HC 36](#), (PDF) July 2022, Q78

¹⁹⁶ CIH; NFA; Arch, [Local authority new build programmes and lifting the HRA borrowing caps](#), January 2020, p13

tendering, procurement and competency checks”. There is evidence of creative responses to this, such as the development of procurement frameworks to cover more than one local authority area.¹⁹⁷

Covid-19: a new landscape

Lifting the borrowing caps gave rise to significant optimism that councils would be able to free up finance to build new homes and/or invest in their existing stock. Research identified clear evidence of an appetite to use the new borrowing freedoms to build. Although there were, and still are, additional barriers authorities would like to see addressed, Theresa May’s reference to building a “new generation of council homes to help fix our broken housing market”¹⁹⁸ signalled new hope that the benefits of a social rented housebuilding programme had been recognised at the highest levels of Government.

The Covid-19 outbreak and subsequent lockdowns shifted the landscape in ways that are still becoming apparent. The experience of the post-2008 financial crisis led commentators to predict a contraction in the construction industry as the economic downturn takes hold. Shelter and others called for an acceleration of the Affordable Homes Programme, with a focus on the delivery of social renting housing to meet identified housing need and to act as a counter-cyclical economic stimulus. Shelter asked for a Government commitment to:

- Accelerating the existing £12.2 billion Affordable Homes Programme, to make it a two-year rescue and recovery package.
- Spending the bulk of the rescue and recovery package on building new social rented homes with realistic grant rates and be flexible and imaginative about allocating grant.
- Using the recovery as a launchpad towards delivering the 90,000 social rented homes a year we need through a long-term programme.¹⁹⁹

The Local Government Association (LGA) said it was “imperative” for the Government response to the pandemic to consider “what steps, measures and reforms would support councils to work towards delivering a new generation of 100,000 high quality social homes per year.” In addition to stressing the multiplier effect of new social housing, whereby “every £1 invested in a new social home generates £2.84 in the wider economy”, the LGA’s report, [Delivery of Council Housing – Developing a Stimulus Package Post-Pandemic](#) (2020), listed several policy and fiscal interventions the LGA suggested would have a positive impact in stimulating an increase in the

¹⁹⁷ As above

¹⁹⁸ MHCLG, Social Housing Green Paper, [A new deal for social housing](#), 14 August 2018, Cm 9671, The Foreword.

¹⁹⁹ Shelter Briefing, [Social Housing & England’s Housebuilding Recovery](#), June 2020

supply of council housing.²⁰⁰ Many of these interventions are referred to in this paper.

Paul Hackett, CEO of Optivo, pointed to the role that Homes England could play in using committed funds more flexibly to allow the conversion of shared ownership and open market sale units to low-cost rented housing. He also called for a long-term view and an acceptance that “grant per home has to be higher at this point in the cycle.”²⁰¹

The Affordable Housing Commission said the core messages in [Making Housing Affordable Again: Rebalancing the Nation’s Housing System](#) had been “given extra emphasis by the Covid-19 national emergency.” Lord Best, chair of the Commission, said social landlords should be helped to build more, acquire unsold new builds and “buy from over-stretched buy-to-let landlords exiting the market”.²⁰²

The NHF launched a [Homes at the Heart campaign](#) which is described as a “national campaign and coalition calling for a once-in-a-generation investment in social housing.”

On 25 June 2020, Christopher Pincher, then-Minister for Housing, responded to a PQ asking what assessment had been made of the potential contribution that constructing social housing might bring to the UK economic recovery after the covid-19 outbreak, he said:


The delivery of new social housing will contribute to economic recovery, in particular by supporting construction activity. The Government is committed to increasing the supply of social housing in view of the social and economic benefits this will generate. We have made £9 billion available through the Affordable Homes Programme to March 2022 to deliver approximately 250,000 new affordable homes in a wide range of tenures, including Social Rent, Shared Ownership and Rent to Buy. We announced at Budget that we will invest £12 billion to build affordable homes between 2021/22 and 2025/26 – the biggest cash investment in affordable housing for a decade.²⁰³

²⁰⁰ LGA, [Delivery of council housing: Developing a stimulus package post-pandemic](#), (PDF) June 2020,

²⁰¹ [Paul Hackett: Housebuilding’s role in stimulating economic recovery, 5 May 2020](#)

²⁰² Inside Housing, “Social landlords should buy from ‘over-stretched’ private landlords, says Affordable Housing Commission”, 25 June 2020 [Login required]

²⁰³ [Social Rented Housing: Construction: Written question – 62364, 25 June 2020](#)



The House of Commons Library is a research and information service based in the UK Parliament. Our impartial analysis, statistical research and resources help MPs and their staff scrutinise legislation, develop policy, and support constituents.

Our published material is available to everyone on commonslibrary.parliament.uk.

Get our latest research delivered straight to your inbox. Subscribe at commonslibrary.parliament.uk/subscribe or scan the code below:



 commonslibrary.parliament.uk

 [@commonslibrary](https://twitter.com/commonslibrary)